

A Linear Transformation from Prioritized Circumscription to Disjunctive Logic Programming*

Emilia Oikarinen** and Tomi Janhunen

Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 5400, FI-02015 TKK, Finland

Introduction. The stable model semantics of *disjunctive logic programs* (DLPs) is based on *minimal models* which assign atoms false by default. While this feature is highly useful—leading to concise problem encodings—it occasionally renders knowledge representation with disjunctive rules difficult. Reiter-style *minimal diagnoses* [1] provide a good example in this respect. This problem can be alleviated by a more refined control of minimization provided by *parallel circumscription* [2] which allows certain atoms to *vary* or to have *fixed* truth values. The scheme of *prioritized circumscription* [3, 2] generalizes this setting with priority classes for atoms being minimized. Our aim is to bring these enhancements of minimality to the realm of disjunctive logic programming. We strive for a translation-based approach where varying and fixed atoms, as well as priority classes are effectively removed from representations by transformations. We have already addressed parallel circumscription and provided a *linear* and *faithful* but *non-modular* translation [4]. Here we present a similar transformation for prioritized circumscription, extend our implementation [5], and report preliminary experiments.

Circumscription. In the sequel, we consider the two forms of circumscription in the propositional case. Given a theory Π represented as a *positive DLP*, the purpose of a prioritized circumscription $\text{Circ}(\Pi, P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F)$ ¹ of Π is to falsify atoms in each set P_i , with a decreasing level of priority $0 < i \leq k$, as far as possible. Meanwhile the truth values of atoms in V may *vary* freely and the truth values of atoms in F are kept *fixed*. These objectives can be captured using a notion of minimality as follows.

Definition 1. A model $M \models \Pi$ of a positive DLP Π is $\langle P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F \rangle$ -minimal iff there is no $N \models \Pi$ such that (i) $N \cap P_1 \subset M \cap P_1$, or $N \cap (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_{i-1}) = M \cap (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_{i-1})$ and $N \cap P_i \subset M \cap P_i$ for some $1 < i \leq k$; and (ii) $N \cap F = M \cap F$.

The parallel circumscription $\text{Circ}(\Pi, P, V, F)$ of Π is obtained as a special case of Definition 1 ($k = 1$). In addition, the $\langle P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F \rangle$ -minimality of $M \models \Pi$ is captured by the unsatisfiability of a translation $\text{Tr}_{\text{UNSAT}}(\Pi, P_1 > \dots > P_k, F, M) =$

$$\{(A \setminus F) \leftarrow (B \setminus F) \mid A \leftarrow B \in \Pi, M \not\models \bigvee (A \cap F), \text{ and } M \models B \cap F\} \cup \{e_0 \leftarrow\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{e_i \leftarrow (P_i \cap M) \cup \{e_{i-1}\}\} \cup \{\perp \leftarrow e_k\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{\perp \leftarrow a, e_{i-1} \mid a \in P_i \setminus M\}.^2 \quad (1)$$

* This research has been partially funded by the Academy of Finland under project “Advanced Constraint Programming Techniques for Large Structured Problems” (#211025).

** Support from Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering, Nokia Foundation, Finnish Foundation of Technology, and Finnish Cultural Foundation is acknowledged.

¹ The sets of atoms P_1, \dots, P_k, V , and F are mutually disjoint and cover all atoms of Π .

² Here e_0 and e_1, \dots, e_k , which correspond to priority classes P_1, \dots, P_k , are new atoms.

Translation-Based Approach. In [4], we present a transformation that captures the models of a parallel circumscription $\text{Circ}(II, P, V, F)$ with the stable models of its translation. Prioritized circumscription is handled by translating it to parallel circumscription using Lifschitz’ (quadratic) scheme [5]. Here we extend the method from [4] for prioritized circumscription. The translation $\text{Tr}_{\text{circ2dlp}}(II, P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F)$ consists of two DLP *modules* (cf. DLP-*functions* in [6]). The module $\text{Tr}_{\text{gen}}(II)$ generates a model candidate for $\text{Circ}(II, P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F)$ roughly in the same way as in [4]. The module $\text{Tr}_{\text{min}}(II)$ encodes the test for $\langle P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F \rangle$ -minimality as an unsatisfiability check based on (1). When the two modules are joined as a single DLP, model candidates created by $\text{Tr}_{\text{gen}}(II)$ are passed as input to $\text{Tr}_{\text{min}}(II)$ for testing $\langle P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F \rangle$ -minimality. As a consequence, the $\langle P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F \rangle$ -minimal models M of a positive DLP II and the stable models N of $\text{Tr}_{\text{circ2dlp}}(II, P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F)$ end up in a bijective correspondence such that $M = N \cap \text{At}(II)$.

Experiments. Our translator CIRC2DLP (v2.1)³ implements $\text{Tr}_{\text{circ2dlp}}(\cdot)$. We use the problem of finding Reiter-style minimal diagnoses for digital circuits as the benchmark. For $k > 1$ priority classes for minimization, the performance of CIRC2DLP significantly improves the quadratic translation from [5]. On smaller instances the running times of CIRC2DLP (using DLV as back-end) and CIRCUM2 are very similar, but the memory consumption of CIRCUM2 becomes soon a bottleneck (over 512MB) as instances grow.

Discussion. The translation $\text{Tr}_{\text{circ2dlp}}(\cdot)$ improves its predecessors [4, 5] and it has a distinctive set of properties: (i) arbitrary propositional theories II subject to prioritized circumscription are covered, (ii) the translation $\text{Tr}_{\text{circ2dlp}}(II, P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F)$ can be produced in linear time and space before computing any models, (iii) the minimal models of $\text{Circ}(II, P_1 > \dots > P_k, V, F)$ and the stable models of its translation are in a bijective relationship, (iv) the signature $\text{At}(II)$ is preserved, and (v) there is no need for incremental updating. All previous transformations lack some of the features (i)–(v). In particular, those involving *characteristic clauses* and *loop formulas*, and the one underlying CIRCUM2, are worst-case exponential. Our first experiments indicate that CIRC2DLP combined with a disjunctive solver compares favorably with CIRCUM2.

References

1. Reiter, R.: A theory of diagnosis from first principles. *Artif. Intell.* **32**(1) (1987) 57–95
2. Lifschitz, V.: Computing circumscription. In: *IJCAI’85*, Los Angeles, CA, USA, Morgan Kaufmann (1985) 121–127
3. McCarthy, J.: Applications of circumscription to formalizing commonsense knowledge. *Artif. Intell.* **28** (1986) 89–116
4. Janhunen, T., Oikarinen, E.: Capturing parallel circumscription with disjunctive logic programs. In: *JELIA’04*, Lisbon, Portugal, Springer-Verlag (2004) 134–146 LNAI 3229.
5. Oikarinen, E., Janhunen, T.: CIRC2DLP—Translating circumscription into disjunctive logic programming. In: *LPNMR’05*, Diamante, Italy, Springer-Verlag (2005) 405–409 LNAI 3662.
6. Janhunen, T., Oikarinen, E., Tompits, H., Woltran, S.: Modularity aspects of disjunctive stable models. In: *LPNMR’07*, Tempe, Arizona, USA, Springer-Verlag (2007) 175–187 LNAI 4883.

³ See <http://www.tcs.hut.fi/Software/circ2dlp/> for binaries and benchmarks.