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Q1. Using the Berlekamp-Massey Algorithm find an LFSR that generates the sequence:
$0 \quad 0 \quad 0111100$.
Compare your solution with the polynomial found in HW4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{k+1}=\max \left\{L_{k}, k+1-L_{k}\right\} \\
& f^{k+1}(x)=x^{L_{k+1}-L_{k}} f^{k}(x)+x^{L_{k+1}-k+m-L_{m}} f^{m}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Running the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm we get:

| $k$ | $z_{k-1}$ | $L_{k}$ | $f^{(k)}$ | observing $z_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $z_{3}=1 \text { (the first nonzero term), set }$ $L_{4}=4 \text { and } f^{(4)}(x)=x^{4}+1$ |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | $x^{4}+1$ | does not work for $z_{4}=1$, change: $L_{5}=\max \{4,5-4\}=4$ and $f^{(5)}=$ $x^{0}\left(x^{4}+1\right)+x^{4-4+3} \cdot 1$ |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | $x^{4}+x^{3}+1$ | OK for $z_{5}=1$, no changes |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | $x^{4}+x^{3}+1$ | does not work for $z_{6}=0$, change: $L_{7}=\max \{4,7-4\}=4$ and $f^{(7)}=$ $x^{0}\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)+x^{4-6+3} \cdot 1$ |
| 7 | 0 | 4 | $x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1$ | OK for $z_{7}=0$, no changes |
| 8 | 0 | 4 | $x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1$ | no more sequence |

Q2. Consider the 4-bit to 4-bit permutation $\pi_{S}$ defined as follows:

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | F | 0 | 6 | A | 1 | D | 8 | 9 | 4 | 5 | B | C | 7 | 2 | E |

(This is the fourth row of the DES S-box $S_{4}$.) Denote by $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ and by $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)$ the input bits and output bits respectively. Find the output bit $y_{j}$ for which the bias of $x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \oplus x_{4} \oplus y_{j}$ is the largest.

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $S_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y_{3}$ | $y_{4}$ | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \oplus x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| number $n_{i}$ |  | 10 | 4 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| bias value |  |  | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $-\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Q3. Suppose that $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ are independent random variables which take on values from the set $\{0,1\}$. We use $\epsilon_{i}$ to denote the bias of $\mathbf{X}_{i}$, $\epsilon_{i}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i}=0\right]-\frac{1}{2}$, for $i=1,2$. Prove that the random variables $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{X}_{2}$ are independent if and only if $\epsilon_{2}=0$ or $\epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

A3. Claim: The random variables $X_{1}$ and $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ are independent if and only if $\epsilon_{2}=0$ or $\epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$.
Suppose that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables. Let $\epsilon_{12}$ denote the bias of $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ and $\epsilon_{112}$ the bias of $X_{1} \oplus\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)$. [" $\Rightarrow$ "]
We prove that $\epsilon_{2}=0$ or $\epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ if the random variables $X_{1}$ and $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ are independent. By the Piling-Up Lemma, we have $\epsilon_{12}=2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}$ and $\epsilon_{112}=2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{12}$. Hence,

$$
\epsilon_{112}=2 \epsilon_{1} 2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}=4 \epsilon_{1}^{2} \epsilon_{2}
$$

Since $X_{1} \oplus\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)=X_{2}$, we have $\epsilon_{112}=\epsilon_{2}$, and thus

$$
4 \epsilon_{1}^{2} \epsilon_{2}=\epsilon_{2} .
$$

This equation holds if and only if either $\epsilon_{2}=0$ or $\epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$.
[ -1
We prove that $X_{1}$ and $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ are independent if $\epsilon_{2}=0$ or $\epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$. The proof makes use of the converse of the Piling-Up Lemma. Since $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables, we have

$$
2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{12}=4 \epsilon_{1}^{2} \epsilon_{2}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \epsilon_{2}=0 \\ \epsilon_{2} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

In other words, $2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{12}=\epsilon_{2}$ if $\epsilon_{2}=0$ or $\epsilon_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$. Because $\epsilon_{112}=\epsilon_{2}$, we get $\epsilon_{112}=2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{12}$. By the converse of the Piling-Up Lemma, $X_{1}$ and $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ are independent.

Q4. Suppose that $w \in\{0,1\}^{n}$. Show that

$$
\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{w \cdot x}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { for } w \neq 0 \\
2^{n}, \text { for } w=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hint. Determine the number of $x \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ such that $w \cdot x=0$.

If $w=0$, we get

$$
\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{w \cdot x}=\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}^{n}} 1=2^{n}
$$

If $w \neq 0$, we get

$$
\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{w \cdot x}=\sum_{x: w \cdot x=0} 1+\sum_{x: w \cdot x=1}(-1)=2^{n-1}-2^{n-1}=0
$$

This is true because there is an equal amount of $x \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ that satisfy $w \cdot x=0$ and $w \cdot x=1$ for $w \neq 0$.

To prove the latter case more strictly, we use induction on the number of coordinates in $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. If $n=1$, we have $w=1 \neq 0$, and it follows that

$$
\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}}(-1)^{w \cdot x}=(-1)^{0}+(-1)^{1}=0
$$

Hence, the claim is true for $n=1$. Suppose that the claim is true for $n=k \geq 1$. We show that the claim is true for $n=k+1$. Denote $w^{\prime}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ and $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. Dividing the sum into separate parts based on whether $x_{k+1}=0$ or $x_{k+1}=1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}^{k+1}}(-1)^{w \cdot x} & =\sum_{x^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}^{k}}(-1)^{w^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime} \oplus w_{k+1} \cdot 0}+\sum_{x^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}^{k}}(-1)^{w^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime} \oplus w_{k+1} \cdot 1} \\
& =\underbrace{\sum_{x^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}^{k}}(-1)^{w^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime}}}_{=0}+(-1)^{w_{k+1}} \sum_{x^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}^{k}}(-1)^{w^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the claim for $n=k+1$.

Q5. Consider the example linear attack in the textbook, Section 3.3.3. For $S_{2}^{2}$ replace the random variable $\mathbf{T}_{2}$ by $\mathbf{U}_{6}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{V}_{8}^{2}$. Then in the third round the random variable $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ is not needed. What is the final random variable corresponding to Equation (3.3) and what is its bias?


FIGURE 3.3
A linear approximation of a substitution-permutation network

A5.

- $\mathbf{T}^{\prime}{ }_{2}=\mathbf{U}_{6}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{V}_{8}^{2}$
- $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ is not needed. Hence, the arrows through $S_{2}^{3}$ are removed.
- $\mathbf{U}_{6}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{14}^{4}$ are removed.
- The new final variable is

$$
\mathbf{X}_{5} \oplus \mathbf{X}_{7} \oplus \mathbf{X}_{8} \oplus \mathbf{U}_{8}^{4} \oplus \mathbf{U}_{16}^{4}
$$

- The bias of the new variable $\mathbf{T}_{2}^{\prime}$ : in the table of the S-box (Figure 3.2) $a=0100=4$ and $b=0001=1$. Hence, $N_{L}(a, b)=N_{L}(4,1)=10$. The bias $\epsilon_{2}^{\prime}=10 / 16-1 / 2=1 / 8$.
- The biases of approximation is

$$
2^{3-1} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{\prime} \epsilon_{4}=4(1 / 4)(1 / 8)(-1 / 4)=-1 / 32
$$

Q6.
Consider the finite field $G F\left(2^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{2}[x] /(f(x))$ with polynomial $f(x)=x^{3}+x+1$ (see Stinson 6.4).

1. Compute the look-up table for the inversion function $g: z \mapsto z^{-1}$ in $G F\left(2^{3}\right)$, where we set $g(0)=0$.
2. Compute the algebraic normal form of the Boolean function defined by the least significant bit of the inversion function.

A6-a. The multiplication table of the finite field
$G F\left(2^{3}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$ is given on page 253 of the textbook. Using it we can, given a nonzero element, find another element such that the product is equal to $1=001$. We get:

| $z$ | $z^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 000 | 000 |
| 001 | 001 |
| 010 | 101 |
| 011 | 110 |
| 100 | 111 |
| 101 | 010 |
| 110 | 011 |
| 111 | 100 |

A6-a. Another approach to create this function table is to express the seven elements of the multiplicative group of $\mathbf{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$ as powers of the element $x=010$ :

| $k$ | $x^{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $x^{0}=001$ |
| 1 | $x=010$ |
| 2 | $x^{2}=100$ |
| 3 | $x^{3}=011$ |
| 4 | $x^{4}=110$ |
| 5 | $x^{5}=111$ |
| 6 | $x^{6}=101$ |

The $g(z)=g\left(x^{k}\right)=x^{-k}=x^{7-k}$, for all $k=0,1, \ldots 6$ as the order of the multiplicative group of $\mathbf{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$ is seven.

A6-b. Using the ANF algorithm (Lecture 6) we get

| $k$ | $b_{3}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $f\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $x_{3}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ |  | $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $x_{1}$ |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2}$ |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2}$ |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3}$ |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3}$ |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \oplus x_{2} x_{3}$ |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \oplus x_{2} x_{3}$ |

