

1. Given a supported model M of a normal logic program P , prove that
 - (a) if P is tight on M , then there is a level numbering $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, and
 - (b) the converse does not hold in general.
2. Recall the primitive operations on binary counters $c[1 \dots n]$ and $d[1 \dots n]$ involved in the translation $\text{Tr}_{\text{AT}}(P)$:
 - (a) The program $\text{NXT}(c[1 \dots n], d[1 \dots n])$ sets the value of $d[1 \dots n]$ as the successor of the value of $c[1 \dots n]$ in binary representation.
 - (b) The program $\text{FIX}(c[1 \dots n], v)$ sets a fixed value v for $c[1 \dots n]$.
 - (c) The program $\text{LT}(c[1 \dots n], d[1 \dots n])$ checks whether the value of the counter $c[1 \dots n]$ is lower than that of $d[1 \dots n]$.
 - (d) The program $\text{EQ}(c[1 \dots n], d[1 \dots n])$ tests whether the values of the counters $c[1 \dots n]$ and $d[1 \dots n]$ are the same.

Encode these programs using only *atomic rules* of the form $a \leftarrow \sim C$.

3. The correctness proof of $\text{Tr}_{\text{AT}}(P)$ treats the translation in the respective parts $\text{Tr}_{\text{SUPP}}(P)$, $\text{Tr}_{\text{CTR}}(P)$, $\text{Tr}_{\text{MAX}}(P)$, and $\text{Tr}_{\text{MIN}}(P)$, which can be viewed as *program modules*.
 - (a) Define the module interfaces for these four parts of $\text{Tr}_{\text{AT}}(P)$.
 - (b) Is the *join* of the respective modules defined?
 - (c) Explain in which way the *module theorem* can alleviate the proof of $P \equiv_{\text{v}} \text{Tr}_{\text{AT}}(P)$.