## T-79.5102 Special Course in Computational Logic Tutorial 10

**1.** The classical semantics of a propositional theory T is determined by  $\operatorname{CM}(T) = \{M \subseteq \operatorname{Hb}(T) \mid M \models T\}$ . The modularity of  $\operatorname{CM}(\cdot)$  can be formalized as an equation

$$CM(T_1 \cup T_2) = CM(T_1) \bowtie CM(T_2) \tag{1}$$

where  $\bowtie$  combines any pair of interpretations  $M_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Hb}(T_1)$  and  $M_2 \subseteq \operatorname{Hb}(T_2)$  which are *compatible*, i.e.,  $M_1 \cap \operatorname{Hb}(T_2) = M_2 \cap \operatorname{Hb}(T_1)$ , into  $M_1 \cup M_2 \subseteq \operatorname{Hb}(T_1 \cup T_2)$ .

- (a) Prove (1) for any propositional theories  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .
- (b) Generalize (1) for any number of propositional theories  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ .
- (c) Apply the generalized form of (1) to propositional theories

$$T_1 = \{r_1 \to r_2\}, T_2 = \{r_2 \to r_3\}, \dots, T_{n-1} = \{r_{n-1} \to r_n\},$$
  
and  $T_n = \{r_n \to r_1\}$ , i.e., to calculate  $CM(T_1 \cup \ldots \cup T_n)$ .

2. Consider the following smodels program modules:



- (a) For which pairs of modules are compositions and joins defined?
- (b) Apply the module theorem, i.e., the equation

$$\mathrm{SM}(\mathbb{P} \sqcup \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{SM}(\mathbb{P}) \bowtie \mathrm{SM}(\mathbb{Q}), \tag{2}$$

to some pair of modules  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  for which  $\mathbb{P} \sqcup \mathbb{Q}$  is defined.

- **3.** Prove the following algebraic properties of  $\oplus$  under the assumption that designated leftmost compositions are defined:
  - (a)  $\mathbb{P} \oplus \emptyset = \emptyset \oplus \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}$  where  $\emptyset$  denotes an empty module  $\langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ .
  - (b)  $\mathbb{P} \oplus \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{P}$ .
  - (c)  $(\mathbb{P} \oplus \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{P} \oplus (\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{R}).$

What if  $\oplus$  is replaced by  $\sqcup$  in the equations above?

4. Recall that  $P = \{a \leftarrow b. \ a \leftarrow \sim b. \}$  and  $Q = \{a.\}$  are not strongly equivalent. What about the modular equivalence of the respective program modules  $\mathbb{P} = \langle P, \{b\}, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle$  and  $\mathbb{Q} = \langle Q, \{b\}, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle$ ?