T-79.4301 Parallel and Distributed Systems (4 ECTS)

T–79.4301 Rinnakkaiset ja hajautetut järjestelmät (4 op)

Lecture 7

2006.03.17

Keijo Heljanko

Keijo.Heljanko@tkk.fi



Abstraction for Deadlock Detection

We will be less formal here, just a word of warning:

- Intuitively all changes of the implementation I are allowed which might make the modified version I' more "deadlock prone" than I.
- In particular, adding edges can sometimes make new deadlocks to be reachable. However, adding edges might also mean escaping from deadlocks of a component.
- Removing edges can also similarly either add or remove deadlocks.

Abstraction for Deadlock Detection

- A sound but non-optimal solution for preserving deadlocks is to use methods based on bisimulation equivalence (see next slide).
- Better solutions are also available but the details are beyond the scope of this course.

Bisimulation

- Bisimulation (also often called strong bisimulation) is one of the most widely used behavioral equivalences for LTSs.
- It is one of the strongest equivalences around: Replacing a component L_i in a parallel composition I with a bisimulation equivalent component L_i' (denoted $L_i' \sim L_i$) will result in a parallel composition I' such that $I' \sim I$, and will leave all interesting properties of I to be directly verified from I'.

Bisimulation (cnt.)

- In practice a component L_i can always be replaced by a component L_i' for which $L_i \sim L_i'$ holds. There is also a (reasonably) efficient algorithm to obtain such an L_i' with the minimum number of states.
- Properties preserved by bisimulation include traces, deadlocks, livelocks, and all properties expressable by all commonly used specification languages (for example the temporal logics LTL and CTL).
- Because bisimulation preserves so many properties, the changes to the component LTSs preserving bisimulation equivalence are significantly more limited than those preserving trace equivalence.



Bisimulation Definition

Given a pair of LTSs $L=(\Sigma,S,\{s^0\},\Delta)$ and $L'=(\Sigma,S',\{s^{0'}\},\Delta')$, a relation $B\subseteq S\times S'$ is a bisimulation iff:

- For every state pair (s,t) such that B(s,t):
 - If $s \xrightarrow{x} s'$ for some $s' \in S, x \in \Sigma \cup \{\tau\}$ then there is some $t' \in S'$ such that $t \xrightarrow{x} t'$ and B(s', t'); and
 - If $t \xrightarrow{x} t'$ for some $t' \in S', x \in \Sigma \cup \{\tau\}$ then there is some $s' \in S$ such that $s \xrightarrow{x} s'$ and B(s', t').

 $L \sim L'$ iff there is some bisimulation B such that $B(s^0, s^{0'})$.

Bisimulation Notes

- If $L \sim L'$ then the two LTSs are bisimilar.
- There can be several relations B_1, B_2, \ldots etc. such that L and L' are bisimilar.
- One can prove that the union of any two bisimulation relations is a bisimulation. The bisimulation B_{\sim} is the largest relation which is still a bisimulation. In other words $B_i \subseteq B_{\sim}$ for all the other bisimulation relations B_i .

Bisimulation Notes (cnt.)

Bisimulation is

- \blacksquare reflexive: $L \sim L$,
- lacksquare symmetric: if $L \sim L'$ then $L' \sim L$, and
- transitive: if $L \sim L'$ and $L' \sim L''$ then $L \sim L''$.

Thus bisimulation is an equivalence relation.



Bisimulation Algorithms

- There are reasonably efficient (low-order polynomial in LTS size) algorithms to check two structures for bisimulation equivalence. The algorithmic ideas used are similar to DFA minimization algorithms. (A straightforward implementation runs in time O(|S| · (|S| + |Δ|))).
- The same algorithm can be used for creating the LTS with the minimal number of states that is bisimilar to the LTS given as input.
- Quite often the bisimulation minimization algorithm is used as a preprocessing step before parallel composition.

Bisimulation and Other Equivalences

- There are literally hundreds of equivalences (and preorders) used and almost all of them are weaker than bisimulation and stronger than the trace equivalence.
- For example, the fact that the LTS consisting of a sequence of two τ-transitions is not strongly bisimilar to the LTS consisting of one τ-transition is already quite severe restriction speaking against strong bisimulation.

Bisimulation (recap)

To reformulate:

- Bisimulation makes very few LTSs equivalent which is bad for flexibility of use in abstraction. However, it preserves almost all interesting properties of the system at hand. In addition, the algorithms, especially minimization wrt. bisimulation, are cheap.
- Trace equivalence makes a large number of LTSs equivalent, which is good for the increased flexibility of abstraction. However, it loses several interesting properties of systems such as deadlocks and livelocks. Checking and minimizing (in the few cases it is possible) wrt. trace equivalence are expensive.



Simulation

Closely related to bisimulation is of course the simulation preorder \leq_{sim} :

Given LTSs $L = (\Sigma, S, \{s^0\}, \Delta)$ and $L' = (\Sigma, S', \{s^{0'}\}, \Delta')$, a relation $R \subseteq S \times S'$ is a simulation iff:

- For every state pair (s,t) such that R(s,t):
 - If $s \xrightarrow{x} s'$ for some $s' \in S, x \in \Sigma \cup \{\tau\}$ then there is some $t' \in S'$ such that $t \xrightarrow{x} t'$ and R(s', t').

We say that L' simulates L, denoted $L \leq_{sim} L'$ iff there is some simulation relation R such that $R(s^0, s^{0'})$.



Simulation (cnt.)

- Recall that preorders, including simulation, are transitive: if $L \leq_{sim} L'$ and $L' \leq_{sim} L''$ then also $L \leq_{sim} L''$.
- Simulation implies trace preorder: $L \leq_{sim} L'$ implies $L \leq_{tr} L'$. (But not vice versa!)
- Note: Bisimulation is more than simulation both ways: It can be the case that $L \leq_{sim} L'$ and $L' \leq_{sim} L$ but the two LTSs are still not bisimilar: $L \not\sim L'$. (Hint: Simulation both ways at the initial states is not enough to guarantee simulation both ways in all the states.)



Simulation (cnt.)

- One way to show trace containment $I \leq_{tr} S$ is to instead show that $I \leq_{sim} S$.
- In other words, if we can show that the specification simulates the implementation, then also all the traces of the implementation are (good traces) allowed by the specification.

Simulation and Abstraction

- Another use for simulation is to use it to prove soundness of model abstractions.
- If an implementation I is abstracted to (a hopefully smaller/easier to verify) implementation I' such that every execution of I can be simulated by an execution of I' ($I \leq_{sim} I'$) then this implies $I \leq_{tr} I'$.
- Now if we can prove $I' \leq_{tr} S$, then also $I \leq_{tr} S$.

Data Abstraction

- Let us consider data abstraction using a running example.
- Assume the verified model contains an integer variable x with a large domain and this leads to state space explosion. Also assume that x has the initial value 0.
- Assume that all operations on x are: x++i, x--i and comparisons: (x == 0), (x != 0).

Data Abstraction (cnt.)

- Now we can try to make the verification model more tractable by replacing all references to x with a reference to a Boolean variable y tracking the property whether x is an even number.
- \blacksquare y should be initially true as x was initially 0.
- The basic idea is to now employ enough non-determinism in the abstract program in order to be able to simulate the concrete program with it.
- We need to define some new notation. Let * in the expression (* ? foo : bar) denote the non-deterministic choice between returning the value foo or the value bar.



Data Abstraction (cnt.)

The operations on x now become (in C syntax extended with the non-deterministic choice of a Boolean value *):

```
unsigned int x = 0; becomes bool y = true;

x++; becomes y = !y;

x--; becomes y = !y;

(x == 0) becomes
(y ? (* ? true : false) : false)
```

```
(x != 0) becomes
(y ? (* ? false : true) : true)
```

