Tutorial problems

1. Proof the following claims using semantic tableaux.

a)
$$\models \forall x (P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg Q(x)) \rightarrow \neg \exists x (P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(x))$$

- b) $\{\forall x \forall y (R(x,y) \rightarrow R(y,x))\} \not\models \forall x R(a,x)$
- 2. Use semantic tableaux to see whether the following claims holds.

a)
$$\{\forall x \exists y R(x, y), \forall y (\neg S(y) \rightarrow \neg \exists x R(x, y))\} \models \exists x S(x)$$

b) $\models \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y)) \rightarrow (\exists x \exists y P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x Q(x, x))$

3. We define predicates L(x, y) (there is a flight from city x to city y) and Y(x, y) (there is a connection from city x to city y) in following way:

$$\begin{split} &\forall x \forall y (L(x,y) \to L(y,x)) \\ &\forall x \forall y (L(x,y) \to Y(x,y)) \\ &\forall x \forall y \forall z (Y(x,y) \land L(y,z) \to Y(x,z)) \end{split}$$

Write a database which states that there is a flights from Helsinki to London, Rovaniemi and New York, that there is a flight from New York to Paris and that there is a flight from Petersburg to Berlin. Use semantic tableaux to prove that there is a connection between Rovaniemi and New York.

Demonstration problems

4. Use semantic tableaux to see whether the following claims holds.

a)
$$\{\forall x \exists y (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)), \forall x P(x)\} \models \forall x Q(x)$$

- b) $\{\forall x \forall y (\exists z (R(x,z) \land R(z,y)) \rightarrow R(x,y)), R(a,b), R(b,a)\} \models R(a,a)$
- c) $\models \forall x \exists y R(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall y (\neg S(y) \rightarrow \neg \exists x R(x, y)) \rightarrow \exists x S(x))$
- 5. We know that
 - (i) All guilty persons are liars.
 - (ii) At least one of the accused is also a witness.

(iii) No witness lies.

Use semantic tableaux to prove that all accused are not guilty.

- 6. We know that:
 - 1) If a brick is on another brick, then it is not on the table.
 - 2) Every brick is either on the table or on another brick.
 - 3) No brick is on a brick which is also on some other brick.

Use semantic tableaux to prove that if a brick is on another brick, the other brick is on the table.