

Constraint Propagation Algorithms

- Introduction
- General propagation algorithms
- Algorithms for partial orderings
- Algorithms for Cartesian products of partial orderings
- Partial orders \rightarrow CSPs
- Node consistency algorithm
- Arc consistency algorithm

Generic procedure Solve

```
Var continue: BOOLEAN;  
continue := TRUE;  
While continue And NOT Happy Do  
  Preprocess;  
  Constraint Propagation;  
  If NOT Happy Then  
    If Atomic Then  
      continue:=FALSE  
    Else  
      Split;  
      Proceed By Cases  
    End  
  End  
End  
End
```

Preliminaries - Set Theory

- Partial order is a pair (D, \sqsubseteq) , where D is a set and \sqsubseteq is a reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation on D .
- In strict partial order \sqsubset is antireflexive.
- Partial order is **well-founded** if no infinite sequence of elements d_0, d_1, \dots of D exists such that $d_{i+1} \sqsubset d_i$.
- Example: $(\mathcal{P}(D), \supseteq)$ is a well-founded partial order. $\mathcal{P}(D)$ is the powerset of set D and \supseteq the reversed.

Partial Order - Definitions

- Sequence $d_0, d_1, \dots \in D$ **eventually stabilises at \mathbf{d}** if for some $j \geq 0$, $d_i = \mathbf{d} \forall i \geq j$.
- Iteration of \mathcal{F} is a sequence d_0, d_1, \dots from D defined inductively
 $d_0 := \perp$
 $d_j := F_{n_j}(d_{j-1})$
where $j > 0$ and n_j is an element of $[1 \dots k]$.
- Lemma on stabilisation
 - Consider a partial ordering (D, \sqsubseteq) with the least element \perp and a finite set F of monotonic functions on D .
 - Suppose that an iteration of F eventually stabilises at a common fixpoint d of the functions from F . Then d is the least common fixed point of the functions from F .

Commutativity

- Lemma on Commutativity
 - Partial ordering (D, \sqsubseteq) with the least element \perp . Let $F := \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ be a finite set of functions on D such that
 - * each $f \in F$ is monotonic and idempotent
 - * all f and $g \in F$ commute
 - then for each permutation $\pi[1 \dots k] \rightarrow [1 \dots k]$ $f_{\pi(1)} f_{\pi(2)} \cdots f_{\pi(k)}(\perp)$ is the least common fixpoint of the functions from F .
- Proof: by commutativity $f_{\pi(1)} f_{\pi(2)} \cdots f_{\pi(k)} = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k(\perp)$
- $f_i f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k(\perp) = f_1 f_i f_2 \cdots f_k(\perp) = \cdots = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_i f_i \cdots f_k(\perp) = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k(\perp)$.

Semi-Commutativity

- Lemma: Consider a partial ordering (D, \sqsubseteq) with the least element \perp . Let $F := f_1, \dots, f_k$ be a finite sequence of functions on D such that
 - each f_i is monotonic, inflationary and idempotent
 - each f_i semi-commutes with f_j for $i > j$ that is $f_i f_j(x) \sqsubseteq f_j f_i(x)$ for all x .
- Then $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k(\perp)$ is the least common fixpoint of the functions from F .

Least Fixed Point

- Lemma: Any iteration F on a finite partial ordering that is regular eventually stabilises at the least common fixpoint of the functions from F .
- F is regular if for all $f \in F$ and $m \geq 0$ if $f(d_m) \neq d_m$, then f is activated at some step $> m$.

Direct Iteration Algorithm

```
 $d := \perp;$   
 $G := F;$   
While  $G \neq \emptyset$  Do  
  choose  $g \in G;$   
   $d := g(d);$   
   $G := G - \{g\}$   
End
```

- Direct Iteration algorithm terminates and computes in d the least common fixpoint of the functions from F .
- F is a finite set of monotonic and idempotent functions on D that commute with each other.
- This is direct consequence of Commutativity Lemma.

Generic Iteration Algorithm

$d := \perp;$
 $G := F;$
While $G \neq \emptyset$ Do
 choose $g \in G;$
 If $d \neq g(d)$ Then
 $G := G \cup \text{update}(G, g, d);$
 $d := g(d)$
 Else
 $G := G - \{g\}$
 End
End
End

- where for all $G, g, d: A$
 $\{f \in F - G \mid f(d) = d \wedge fg(d) \neq g(d)\} \subseteq \text{update}(G, g, d).$

Generic Iteration Algorithm Continued

- Theorem: Every execution of the Generic Iteration Algorithm terminates and computes in d the least common fixpoint of the functions from F . Here F is a finite set of monotonic and inflationary functions on set D with partial ordering \sqsubseteq .
- Proof is based on lexicographical ordering of the strict partial orderings (D, \sqsubseteq) and $(\mathcal{N}, <)$, defined on the elements of $D \times \mathcal{N}$ by $(d_1, n_1) <_{lex} (d_2, n_2)$ iff $d_1 \sqsupset d_2$ or $(d_1 = d_2$ and $n_1 < n_2)$.

Algorithms for Cartesian Products of Partial Orderings

- Definition: Cartesian product (D, \sqsubseteq) of partial orderings (D_i, \sqsubseteq_i) is a partial order:
 - $D = D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$
 - $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \sqsubseteq (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ iff $d_i \sqsubseteq_i e_i$ for all $i \in [1 \dots n]$ and (d_1, \dots, d_n) and $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in D$
- A scheme s is a sequence of elements from $[1 \dots n]$.
- (D_s, \sqsubseteq_s) is the projection of D to the elements of the scheme.
- A function f is with scheme s if it depends only on elements that are in s .
- f^+ is extension of f to all elements of D .

Direct Iteration for Compound Domains Algorithm

$d := (\perp_1 \dots \perp_n);$

$G := F_0;$

While $G \neq \emptyset$ Do

 choose $g \in G$

$d[s] := g(d[s])$, where s is the scheme of g

End

Direct Iteration for Compound Domains

- Suppose that (D, \sqsubseteq) is a partial ordering that is a Cartesian product of n partial orderings, each with the least element \perp_i with $i \in [1 \dots n]$. Let F_0 be a finite set of functions with schemes.
- Suppose that all functions in F_0 are monotonic, idempotent and commute with each other. Then the DICD algorithm terminates and computes in d the least common fixpoint of the functions from $F := \{f^+ \mid f \in F_0\}$.

From partial orderings to CSPs

- Partial orderings with least elements
 - Cartesian product of the partial orderings $(\mathcal{P}(D_i), \supseteq)$, and $(\mathcal{P}(C_i), \subseteq)$.
 - The domain ordering is used for node, arc, hyper-arc and directional arc consistency. The constraint ordering is used for path, directional path, k-, and relational consistency notions.
- Monotonic and inflationary functions correspond to the domain reduction rules and specific transformation rules used in Chapter 5 to characterise the local consistency notions.
- Common fixpoints correspond to the CSPs that satisfy the considered notion of local consistency.

Node Consistency

- The rule: $\frac{\langle C; x \in D \rangle}{\langle C; x \in C \cap D \rangle}$.
- Same rule: $\pi_0(X) := X \cap C$. π_0^+ is a function on $\mathcal{P}(D_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{P}(D_n)$.
- Lemma: A CSP $\langle C; x_1 \in D_1, \dots, x_n \in D_n \rangle$ is node consistent iff (D_1, \dots, D_n) is a common fixpoint of all functions π_0^+ associated with the unary constraints from C .

All functions π_0 associated with a unary constraint C are

- monotonic w.r.t. the componentwise ordering \supseteq
- idempotent
- commute with each other

Node Consistency Algorithm

$S_0 := \{C \mid C \text{ is a unary constraint from } C\};$

$S := S_0;$

While $S \neq \emptyset$ Do

choose $C \in S$; suppose C is on x_i ;

$D_i := C \cap D_i$; %apply the function π_0 associated with C

$S := S - \{C\}$

End

Arc Consistency

Arc Consistency 1

$$\frac{\langle C; x \in D_x, y \in D_y \rangle}{\langle C; x \in D'_x, y \in D_y \rangle} \quad (1)$$

- This rule can be viewed as a function π_1 on $\mathcal{P}(D_x) \times \mathcal{P}(D_y)$:

$$\pi_1(X, Y) := (X', Y) \quad (2)$$

where $X' := \{a \in X \mid \exists b \in Y (a, b) \in C\}$.

- The same applies to rule 2 in which Y is reduced instead of X.

Arc Consistency

- A CSP $\langle C; x_1 \in D_1, \dots, x_n \in D_n \rangle$ is arc consistent iff (D_1, \dots, D_n) is a common fixpoint of all functions π_1^+ and π_2^+ associated with the binary constraints from C .
- Each projection function π_i associated with binary constraint C is
 - inflationary w.r.t. the componentwise ordering \supseteq .
 - monotonic w.r.t. the componentwise ordering \supseteq .

Arc Consistency Algorithm

$S_0 :=$

$\{C \mid C \text{ is a binary constraint from } C\} \cup \{C^T \mid C \text{ is a binary constraint from } C\};$

$S := S_0;$

While $S \neq \emptyset$ Do choose $C \in S$; suppose C is on x_i, x_j ;

$D_i := \{a \in D_i \mid \exists b \in D_j (a, b) \in C\}$; % apply π_1 associated with C

If D_i changed Then

$S := S \cup \{C' \in S_0 \mid C' \text{ is on } y, z \text{ where } y \text{ is } x_i \text{ or } z \text{ is } x_i\}$

Else

$S := S - \{C\}$

End

End

Conclusions

- CSPs can be studied as partial orders and thus generic algorithms that produce least fixed points for partial orders are useful for CSPs
- The least common fixed point of the partial orders corresponds to the maximal domains that satisfy the studied local consistency notion.

Harjoitustehtävät

1. Tarkastellaan rajoiteongelmaa

$$\langle x + y \leq 9, x \cdot y > 5, x < 10, y > 8; x \in [0 \dots 20], y \in [0 \dots 20] \rangle$$

- (a) Käytä kirjan solmukonsistenssialgoritmia (7.3) ja tee annetusta rajoiteongelmasta solmukonsistentti.
- (b) Käytä kirjan kaarikonsistenssialgoritmia (7.4) ja tee annetusta rajoiteongelmasta kaarikonsistentti.

Huom. esitä ratkaisussasi algoritmin toiminta vaihe vaiheelta.

2. Kirjan tehtävä 7.4

Todista solmukonsistenssilemma