Introduction to Theoretical Computer Science
Tutorial 7
Solutions to the demonstration problems
4. Problem: Prove that the class of context-free languages is closed under unions, concatenations, and the Kleene star operation, i.e. if the languages $L_{1}, L_{2} \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ are context-free, then so are the languages $L_{1} \cup L_{2}, L_{1} L_{2}$ and $L_{1}^{*}$.
Solution: Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be context-free languages that are defined by grammars $G_{1}=$ $\left(V_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$. In addition we require that $\left(V_{1}-\Sigma_{1}\right) \cap\left(V_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\Sigma_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. That is, the grammars may not have any common nonterminals. Since the nonterminals may be renamed if necessary, this is not an essential limitation.

Union: Let $S$ be a new nonterminal and $G=\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup\{S\}, \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}, R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup\{S \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\left.S_{1} \mid S_{2}\right\}, S\right\}$. Now $L(G)=L\left(G_{1}\right) \cup L\left(G_{2}\right)=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$. This holds, since the initial symbol $S$ may derive only $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$, and they in turn may derive only strings that belong to the respective languages. (If the sets of nonterminals were not disjoint, this would not hold).

Concatenation: The language $L_{1} L_{2}$ is defined by the following grammar: $G=\left(V_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.V_{2} \cup\{S\}, \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}, R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup\left\{S \rightarrow S_{1} S_{2}\right\}, S\right\}$
Kleene star: The language $L_{1}^{*}$ is defined by the following grammar: $G=\left(V_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.\{S\}, \Sigma_{1}, R_{1} \cup\left\{S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid S S_{1}\right\}, S\right\}$
5. Problem: Prove that the following context-free grammar is ambiguous:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \rightarrow \text { if } b \text { then } S \\
& S \rightarrow \text { if } b \text { then } S \text { else } S \\
& S \rightarrow s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Design an unambiguous grammar that is equivalent to the grammar, i.e. one that generates the same language.

Solution: A context-free grammar is ambiguous if there exists a word $w \in L(G)$ such that $w$ has at least two different parse trees. The simplest word for the given grammar that has this property is:
if $b$ then if $b$ then $s$ else $s$.
Its two parse trees are:

## $S$

if $b$ then $S$

| if $b$ then | $S$ | else |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $S$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

$S$

| if | $b$ | then | $S$ | else | $S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | if | $b$ | then | $S$ | $s$ |

Usually we want to associate an else-branch to the closest preceeding if-statement. In this case the former tree corresponds to this practice.
We define a grammar $G$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G= & (V, \Sigma, P, S) \\
V= & \{S, B, U, s, b, \text { if, then, else }\} \\
\Sigma= & \{s, b, \text { if, then, else }\} \\
P= & \{S \rightarrow B \mid U \\
& B \rightarrow \text { if } b \text { then } B \text { else } B \mid s \\
& U \rightarrow \text { if } b \text { then } S \mid \text { if } b \text { then } B \text { else } U\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the nonterminal $B$ is used to derive balanced programs where each if-statement has both then- and else-branches. The nonterminal $U$ derives those if-statements that do not have an else-branch.
6. Problem: Design a recursive-descent (top-down) parser for the grammar from Problem 6/6.
Solution: The following C-program implements a top-down parser for the following grammar:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \rightarrow S \mid S ; C \\
& S \rightarrow a \mid \text { begin } C \text { end } \mid \text { for } n \text { times do } S
\end{aligned}
$$

This grammar is a simplified form of the one in problem 6.6. The difference is that all different numbers are replaced by a new terminal symbol $n$ that denotes a number.

The most important functions of the program are:
-C()$, \mathrm{S}()$ - implement the rules of the program.

- lex() - read the next lexeme from the input, and store it in a global variable current_tok.
- expect (int token) - tries to read the lexeme token from input. Gives an error message if it fails.
- consume_token() - mark the current lexeme used. This is necessary because sometimes we have to have a one-token lookahead before we know what rule we must apply.

In practice, the programming language parsers are implemented using lex and yacc tools ${ }^{1}$. Of these, lex generates a finite automaton-based lexical analyser from identifying lexemes that have been defined using regular expression, and yacc constructs a pushdown automaton-based parser for a given context-free grammar.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <ctype.h>
/* Define the alphabet */
enum TOKEN { DO, FOR, END, BEGIN, TIMES, OP, SC, NUMBER, ERROR };
const char* tokens[] = { "do", "for", "end", "begin", "times", "a",
                                    ";", "NUMBER", NULL };
/* A global variable holding the current token */
int current_tok = ERROR;
```

[^0]```
/* Maximum length of a token */
#define TOKEN_LEN 128
/* declare functions corresponding to nonterminals */
void S(void);
void C(void);
int lex(void);
void consume_token(void);
void error(char *st);
void expect(int token);
void C(void)
{
    S();
    lex();
    if (current_tok == SC) {
        consume_token();
        C() ;
        printf("C => S ; C\n");
    } else {
        printf("C => S\n");
    }
}
void S(void)
{
    lex();
    switch (current_tok) {
    case OP:
        consume_token();
        printf("S => a\n");
        break;
    case BEGIN:
        consume_token();
        C() ;
        expect(END);
        printf("S => begin C end\n");
        break;
    case FOR:
        consume_token();
        expect(NUMBER);
        expect(TIMES);
        expect(DO);
        S();
        printf("S => for N times do S\n");
        break;
    default:
        error("Parse error");
    }
}
/* int lex(void) returns the next token of the input. */
```

```
int lex(void)
{
    static char token_text[TOKEN_LEN];
    int pos = 0, c, i, next_token = ERROR;
    /* Is there an existing token already? */
    if (current_tok != ERROR)
        return current_tok;
    /* skip whitespace */
    do {
        c = getchar();
    } while (c != EOF && isspace(c));
    if (c != EOF) ungetc(c, stdin);
    /* read token */
    c = getchar();
    while (c != EOF && c != ';' && !isspace(c) && pos < TOKEN_LEN) {
        token_text[pos++] = c;
        c = getchar();
    }
    if (c == ';') {
        if (pos == 0) /* semicolon as token */
            next_token = SC;
        else { /* trailing semicolon, leave it for future */
            ungetc(';', stdin);
        }
    }
    token_text[pos] = '\0'; /* trailing zero */
    /* identify token */
    if (isdigit(token_text[0])) { /* number? */
        next_token = NUMBER;
    } else { /* not a number */
        for (i = DO; i < NUMBER; i++) {
            if (!strcmp(tokens[i], token_text)) {
                next_token = i;
                break;
                }
        }
    }
    current_tok = next_token;
    return next_token;
}
void consume_token(void)
{
    current_tok = ERROR;
}
void error(char *st)
{
    printf(st);
    exit(1);
```

```
}
/* try to read a 'token' from input */
void expect(int token)
{
    int next_tok = lex();
    if (next_tok == token) {
        consume_token();
        return;
    } else
        error("Parse error");
}
int main(void)
{
    int i;
    C();
    return 0;
}
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or some of their derivatives, like flex or bison.

