

Please note the following: your answers will be graded only if you have passed all the three home assignments before the exam!

Assignment 1 Answer and justify exactly (at most half a page per item).

- (a) True or false: a proof method M is sound, if every valid sentence ϕ is provable using the method M .
- (b) True or false: a conjunctive normal form ϕ of a sentence in predicate logic is logically equivalent to the form ϕ' obtained from ϕ by Skolemization.
- (c) True or false: a sentence ϕ has at most as many *subsentes* as it has atomic sentences and connectives ($\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$).
- (d) True or false: if $\Sigma \not\models \phi$, then $\Sigma \models \neg\phi$.

Assignment 2 Examine if the given claim holds using semantic tableaux. If not, justify by giving a valuation/structure (a counter example).

- (a) $\models (A \vee B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow \neg((A \wedge \neg C) \vee \neg(B \rightarrow C))$
- (b) $\{\forall x \forall y (R(x, y) \rightarrow R(y, x))\} \models \forall x R(a, x)$
- (c) $\{\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x) \vee R(x)), \neg \exists x R(x)\} \models \forall x (\neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg P(x))$

Tableau proofs must contain all intermediary steps !!!

Assignment 3

- (a) Derive a clausal form for the sentence

$$\neg(\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)) \vee \neg \forall y P(y).$$

Try to make it as simple as possible.

- (b) Consider the following program P :

$$v = 0 ; z = 0 ; \text{while}(! (z == y)) \{ z = z + 1 ; v = v - 1 \} ; v = v + x$$

Use weakest preconditions and a suitable invariant to establish

$$\models_p [\text{true}] P [v == x - y].$$

Assignment 4 Let us represent natural numbers $0, 1, 2, \dots$ with ground terms $0, s(0), s(s(0)), \dots$ built of a constant symbol 0 and a function symbol s which is interpreted as the function $s(x) = x + 1$ for natural numbers x .

- (a) Let the predicates $J2(x)$, $J3(x)$ and $J6(x)$ mean that a natural number x is divisible by two, three and six, respectively. Use predicate logic to define these predicates such that the definition of the predicate $J6$ is based on the definitions of the predicates $J2$ and $J3$.
- (b) Use resolution to show that if a natural number x is divisible by two and three, then the natural number $x + 6$ is divisible by six.