Introduction to Theoretical Computer Science (T)
Session 9
Answers to demonstration exercises
4. Problem: Show that pushdown automata with two stacks (rather than just one as permitted by the standard definition) would be capable of recognizing exactly the same languages as Turing machines.
Solution: We first show that a two-stack pushdown automaton can simulate a Turing machine. The only difficulty is to find a way to simulate the Turing machine tape using two stacks. This can be done using a construction that is similar to the one presented in the first problem: the first stack holds the part of tape that is left to the read/write head (in reversed order), and the second stack holds the symbols that are right to the head.


The computation of the automaton can be divided into two parts:
(a) Initialization, when the automaton copies the input to stack $S_{1}$ one symbol at a time, and then moves it, again one-by-one, to stack $S_{2}$. (With the exception of the first symbol).
(b) Simulation, where the automaton decides its next transition by examining the top symbol of $S_{1}$. If the machine moves its head to left, the top element of $S_{1}$ is moved into $S_{2}$. If it moves to the other direction, the top element of $S_{2}$ is moved to $S_{1}$.

A two-stack pushdown automaton that is formed using these principles simulates a given Turing machine. The formal details are presented in an appendix.
Next we show that we can simulate a two-stack pushdown automaton using a Turing machine. This can be done trivially using a two tape nondeterministic Turing machine where both stacks are stored on their own tapes.
5. Problem: Extend the notion of a Turing machine by providing the possibility of a twoway infinite tape. Show that nevertheless such machines recognize exactly the same languages as the standard machines whose tape is only one-way infinite.
Solution: A Turing machine with a two-way infinite tape works otherwise in a same way than a standard machine except that the position of the tape start symbol ( $>$ ) is not fixed and it can move in a same way than the end symbol $(<)$. The tape positions are indexed by the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers where 0 denotes the initial position of $>$.
We can simulate such a Turing machine by a two-track one-way Turing machine. Conceptually, we divide the tape into two parts: upper and lower. The upper part holds the two-way tape cells $i \geq 0$ and the lower part cells $i<0$. For example, a two-way tape:

is expressed as a one-way tape:


In practice the construction of two tracks is done by replacing the alphabet $\Sigma$ by a new alphabet $\Sigma^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma \cup\left\{<^{\prime},>^{\prime}\right\}\right) \times\left(\Sigma \cup\left\{<^{\prime},>^{\prime}\right\}\right)$. Each symbol of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ thus denotes two symbols of $\Sigma$. The symbols $\left.\left\{<^{\prime},\right\rangle^{\prime}\right\}$ are new symbols that denote the start and end symbols of the original tape. So, the above example is expressed as:


We still need a way to decide which tape-half is used. The easiest way to do this is to define a mirror image state $q^{\prime}$ for each state $q$. When the machine is in state $q$, it examines only the upper track when it decides what move to take next (tape head is on right side of the tape). Similarily, in state $q^{\prime}$ it examines only the lower symbol (tape head is on the left side). Since the lower tape is in a reversed order, all tape head moving instructions have to be also reversed.
The formal definition of this construction is presented in an appendix.
6. Problem: Prove that the Cartesian product $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countably infinite. (Hint: Think of the pairs $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ as embedded in the Euclidean $(x, y)$ plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Enumerate the pairs by diagonals parallel to the line $y=-x$.) Conclude from this result and the result of Problem 3 that also the set $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers is countably infinite.
Solution: A set $S$ is countably infinite, if there exists a bijective mapping $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$. By intuition, all members of the set $S$ can be assigned a unambiguous running number.
The members $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ can be assigned a number as shown in the following figure.


The idea is to arrange all pairs of numbers on diagonals parallel to the line $y=-x$ and enumerate the lines by member one at a time, starting from the shortest one. Here the
enumeration can not be done parallel to the $x$-axis; when doing this all indices would be used to enumerate only the $y$-axis and no pair $(x, y), y>0$ would ever be reached.
The enumerating scheme abowe can be defined as follows:

$$
f(x, y)=x+\sum_{k=1}^{x+y} k=x+\frac{(x+y)(x+y+1)}{2}
$$

For an example, $f(3,1)=13$, that is, the running number of pair $(3,1)$ is 13 . The function $f(x, y)$ is a bijection; for every running number there exists a unambiguous pair of numbers. Calculating a coordinate from a given index is relatively difficult, and is discussed in the appendix at the end of these solutions.
The set of positive rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}^{+}$can be presented as a pair of numbers $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by $(x, y) \equiv \frac{x}{y}, y \neq 0$. This is a proper subset of the countably infinite set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. By Problem $3, \mathbb{Q}^{+}$is either finite or countably infinite. If $\mathbb{Q}^{+}$was finite, there should exists some rational number $\frac{x}{y}, x \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathbb{N}, y \neq 0$, that would have the greatest running number $n<\infty$ (in the enumeration of $\mathbb{Q}$ ). This cannot be, because using the figure above one could always find a rational number that would have a running numberu $n^{\prime}>n$. Hence, we have contradiction with the assumption that $\mathbb{Q}^{+}$is finite. Therefore $\mathbb{Q}^{+}$is countably infinite. By the same argument, the set $\mathbb{Q}^{-}$:

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{-}=\left\{(-x, y) \mid(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}\right\}
$$

is countably infinite. Thus, the set $\mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Q}^{+} \cup \mathbb{Q}^{-}$is the union of two countably infinite sets, and it too is countably infinite.

## Appendix: the formalisation of solution 5

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, q_{\mathrm{acc}}, q_{\mathrm{rej}}\right)$ be a two-way tape Turing machine. Define a standard Turing machine $M^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\prime} & =\left(Q^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, q_{0}, q_{\mathrm{acc}}, q_{\mathrm{rej}}\right) \\
Q^{\prime} & =Q \cup\left\{q^{\prime} \mid q \in Q\right\} \\
\Sigma^{\prime} & =\left(\Sigma \cup\left\{<^{\prime},>^{\prime}\right\}\right) \times\left(\Sigma \cup\left\{<^{\prime},>^{\prime}\right\}\right) \\
\Gamma^{\prime} & =\left(\Gamma \cup\left\{<^{\prime},>^{\prime}\right\}\right) \times\left(\Gamma \cup\left\{<^{\prime},>^{\prime}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The transition function $\delta^{\prime}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\prime}= & \left\{\left(q_{1},\langle a, \gamma\rangle, q_{2},\langle b, \gamma\rangle, \Delta\right) \mid\left(q_{1}, a, q_{2}, b, \Delta\right) \in \delta, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(q_{1},\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle, q_{2},\langle b, \gamma\rangle, \Delta\right) \mid\left(q_{1}, \sigma, q_{2}, b, \Delta\right) \in \delta, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}, \sigma \in\{<,>\}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(q_{1}^{\prime},\langle\gamma, a\rangle, q_{2}^{\prime},\langle\gamma, b\rangle, \bar{\Delta}\right) \mid\left(q_{1}, a, q_{2}, b, \Delta\right) \in \delta, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(q^{\prime},\langle\gamma, a\rangle, q_{\mathrm{end}},\langle\gamma, b\rangle, \bar{\Delta}\right) \mid\left(q, a, q_{\mathrm{end}}, b, \Delta\right) \in \delta, q_{\mathrm{end}} \in\left\{q_{\mathrm{acc}}, q_{\mathrm{rej}}\right\}, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \left.\cup\left\{\left(q_{1}^{\prime},\left\langle\gamma, \bar{\sigma}^{\prime}\right\rangle, q_{2}^{\prime},\langle\gamma, b\rangle, \bar{\Delta}\right) \mid\left(q_{1}, \sigma, q_{2}, b, \Delta\right) \in \delta, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}, \sigma \in\{<,\rangle\right\}\right\} \\
& \left.\left.\cup\left\{\left(q,>, q^{\prime},\right\rangle, R\right),\left(q^{\prime},\right\rangle, q,>, R\right) \mid q \in Q\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{L}=R, \bar{R}=L, \overline{<}=>$ and $>=<$.

