

T-79.232 Safety Critical Systems

Case Study 4: B Method - Functions, Sequences and Nondeterminism

Teemu Tynjälä

March 13, 2008

Functions in B - what kinds are there?

B provides a rich set of function types in its input language, and we'll describe each one in its turn. The complete list is:

- Partial functions
- Total functions
- Injective functions
- Surjective functions
- Bijective functions
- Lambda notation for functions

Partial functions

Basically, partial functions are relations, so they consist of pairs (s, t) where $s \in S \wedge t \in T$

However, we have the additional requirement, that any member of S is mapped onto *at most* one element of T .

When we allow for some elements in set S not to be mapped onto an element of T we have partial functions. In math,

$$S \mapsto T = \{f \mid f \subseteq S \times T \wedge \forall s, t_1, t_2. (s \in S \wedge t_1 \in T \wedge t_2 \in T \Rightarrow ((s \mapsto t_1 \in f \wedge s \mapsto t_2 \in f) \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2))\}$$

For example, if we say $favourite_colour \in PERSON \mapsto COLOUR$, we are saying that people have one favourite colour or not at all.

Total Functions

A *total function* is a partial function between sets S and T with the added requirement that every element of S must be mapped to exactly one element of T .

In mathematics,

$$S \rightarrow T = \{f \mid f \in S \mapsto T \wedge \text{dom}(f) = S\}$$

Now, if we declare that $\textit{favourite_colour} \in \textit{PERSON} \rightarrow \textit{COLOUR}$, we are stating that every person has exactly one favourite colour.

Injective Functions

A function is injective between sets S and T , if it never maps two different members of S into the same element of T . Partial injections are defined as follows:

$$S \rightsquigarrow T = \{f \mid f \in S \rightarrow T \\ \wedge \forall s_1, s_2, t. (s_1 \in S \wedge s_2 \in S \wedge t \in T \Rightarrow \\ ((s_1 \mapsto t \in f \wedge s_2 \mapsto t \in f) \Rightarrow s_1 = s_2))\}$$

For total injections (injections that are also total functions), we have:

$$S \twoheadrightarrow T = \{f \mid f \in S \rightsquigarrow T \wedge f \in S \rightarrow T\}$$

For example $username \in PERSON \rightsquigarrow ID$ associates a username to people in such a way that no two people get the same one. Also, there are some people who have no username at all.

Surjective Functions

A function between sets S and T is *surjective* if every element of set T is reached from some element in set S .

For partial surjections we have:

$$S \twoheadrightarrow T = \{f \mid f \in S \twoheadrightarrow T \wedge \text{ran}(f) = T\}$$

For total surjections we have:

$$S \twoheadrightarrow T = \{f \mid f \in S \twoheadrightarrow T \wedge f \in S \rightarrow T\}$$

For example $\textit{attends} \in \textit{PERSON} \twoheadrightarrow \textit{SCHOOL}$ says that every school is attended by some people, but there may be some people who do not attend any school.

Bijjective Functions

Bijjective functions are functions which is *total*, *injective* and *surjective*.

In mathematical terms we write,

$$S \rightsquigarrow T = \{f \mid f \in S \rightsquigarrow T \wedge f \in S \rightarrow T\}$$

For example, *married* \in *husbands* \rightsquigarrow *wives* says that there is exactly one wife for every husband, different husbands have different wives and every wife has a husband.

Lambda notation for functions

The lambda notation gets us closer to the 'implementation' language (= equations) of functions. It basically separates two entities - the variables in the function, and the operation that computes the function.

For example, we can define the squaring function of a natural number as follows:

$$\mathit{square} = \lambda x.(x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x^2)$$

The nice thing about lambda notation is that you can add conditions on variables for the operation to occur. It also allows one to separate the domain of a function to disjoint parts.

For example, the following function divides the domain \mathbb{N} into two separate parts and performs a different operation on the input variable depending on whether is even or odd.

$$f = \lambda x.(x \in \mathbb{N} \wedge x \bmod 2 = 1 \mid 3x + 1) \\ \cup \lambda x.(x \in \mathbb{N} \wedge x \bmod 2 = 0 \mid x/2)$$

B machine with Functions - 1

MACHINE *Reading*

SETS *READER ; BOOK ; COPY ; RESPONSE = {yes,no}*

CONSTANTS *copyof*

PROPERTIES *copyof ∈ COPY → BOOK*

VARIABLES *hasread, reading*

INVARIANT

hasread ∈ READER ↔ BOOK

∧ reading ∈ READER ↯ COPY

∧ (reading ; copyof) ∩ hasread = {}

INITIALISATION *hasread := {} || reading := {}*

So, we have a machine where we have a number of COPIES of every BOOK, and every READER is reading a different COPY at any moment, as well as nobody is allowed to read a book a second time.

Teemu Tynjälä

B machine with Functions - 2

OPERATIONS

start(*rr*, *cc*) =

PRE

$rr \in \text{READER} \wedge cc \in \text{COPY} \wedge \text{copyof}(cc) \notin \text{hasread}[\{rr\}]$
 $\wedge rr \notin \text{dom}(\text{reading}) \wedge cc \notin \text{ran}(\text{reading})$

THEN $\text{reading} := \text{reading} \cup \{ rr \mapsto cc \}$

END ;

finished(*rr*, *cc*) =

PRE $rr \in \text{READER} \wedge cc \in \text{COPY} \wedge cc = \text{reading}(rr)$

THEN $\text{hasread} := \text{hasread} \cup \{ rr \mapsto \text{copyof}(cc) \}$

$\parallel \text{reading} := \{ rr \} \blacktriangleleft \text{reading}$

END ;

B machine with Functions - 3

```
resp  $\leftarrow$  precurrentquery( rr ) =  
PRE rr  $\in$  READER  
THEN  
  IF rr  $\in$  dom( reading )  
  THEN resp := yes  
  ELSE resp := no  
  END  
END ;
```

```
bb  $\leftarrow$  currentquery( rr ) =  
PRE rr  $\in$  READER  $\wedge$  rr  $\in$  dom( reading )  
THEN bb := copyof( reading( rr ) )  
END ;
```

B machine with Functions - 4

```
resp  $\leftarrow$  hasreadquery( rr, bb ) =  
PRE rr  $\in$  READER  $\wedge$  bb  $\in$  BOOK  
THEN  
  IF bb  $\in$  hasread[ { rr } ]  
  THEN resp := yes  
  ELSE resp := no  
END  
END  
END
```

Sequences - 1

Sequences are very useful in modelling some situations where we have a list with a definite order. B language provides a rich set of operations that are sequence specific, which will be given in the following:

Sequences may be formed by simply listing the elements as follows:

$$prime_1 := [Wilson, Heath, Wilson, Callaghan]$$
$$prime_2 := [Thatcher, Major]$$

To concatenate two sequences we may use the \frown - operator:

$$prime_1 \frown prime_2 = [Wilson, Heath, Wilson, Callaghan, Thatcher, Major]$$

Sequences - 2

Sequences may be reversed as well:

$$\mathit{rev}(\mathit{prime}_1) = [\mathit{Callaghan}, \mathit{Wilson}, \mathit{Heath}, \mathit{Wilson}]$$

If we want to append an element to the front of the list, we use the \rightarrow operator:

$$\mathit{Callaghan} \rightarrow \mathit{prime}_2 = [\mathit{Callaghan}, \mathit{Thatcher}, \mathit{Major}]$$

Similarly we may ask the *first* element and *tail* of a sequence:

$$\mathit{first}(\mathit{prime}_1) = \mathit{Wilson}$$

$$\mathit{tail}(\mathit{prime}_1) = [\mathit{Heath}, \mathit{Wilson}, \mathit{Callaghan}]$$

Sequences - 3

We have a 'dual' operator pair for *first* and *tail* – namely *front* and *last*:

$$\text{front}(\text{prime}_1) = [\text{Wilson}, \text{Heath}, \text{Wilson}]$$

$$\text{last}(\text{prime}_1) = \text{Callaghan}$$

Appending to the back of the sequence is accomplished by \leftarrow operator:

$$\text{prime}_2 \leftarrow \text{Blair} = [\text{Thatcher}, \text{Major}, \text{Blair}]$$

To extract the first n elements of a sequence we use the \uparrow operator:

$$\text{prime}_1 \uparrow 3 = [\text{Wilson}, \text{Heath}, \text{Wilson}]$$

To extract all but the first n elements of a sequence we use the \downarrow operator:

$$\text{prime}_1 \downarrow 3 = [\text{Callaghan}]$$

Sequences - 4

The set of all possible sequences on a set S is defined as $seq(S)$ (in other words, the infinite union of total functions from the set $1..N$ to the set S , where N grows without bounds):

$$seq(S) = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} (1..N \rightarrow S)$$

A more restrictive sequence is the injective sequence $iseq(S)$. Here we are not allowed to repeat elements of S in the sequence, but we are not forced to include every element of S there:

$$iseq(S) = seq(S) \cap \mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow S$$

Finally, a useful sequence is one where every element of set S appears exactly once $perm(S)$. For this to make sense, S has to be finite:

$$perm(S) = 1..N \rightsquigarrow S, \text{ where } S \text{ is finite}$$

B machine with Sequences - 1

MACHINE *Results*

SETS *RUNNER*

VARIABLES *finish*

INVARIANT $finish \in iseq(RUNNER)$

INITIALISATION $finish := []$

OPERATIONS

finished(*rr*) =

PRE $rr \in RUNNER \wedge rr \notin ran(finish)$

THEN $finish := finish \leftarrow rr$

END ;

$rr \longleftarrow$ **query**(*pp*) =

PRE $pp \in \mathbb{N}_1 \wedge pp \leq size(finish)$

THEN $rr := finish(pp)$

END ;

B machine with Sequences - 2

```
disqualify( pp ) =  
  PRE  $pp \in \mathbb{N}_1 \wedge pp \leq \text{size}( \text{finish} )$   
  THEN  $\text{finish} := \text{finish} \uparrow (pp - 1) \frown ( \text{finish} \downarrow pp )$   
  END ;
```

```
ss  $\longleftarrow$  medals =  
   $ss := \text{finish} \uparrow 3$ 
```

```
END
```

Nondeterminism in B machines

Nondeterminism is very important concept when modelling and verification is considered. A system has to work correctly on any input, and no matter what the sequence of correct and incorrect signals between communicating entities, a protocol must not deadlock.

B introduces *ANY*, *CHOICE* and *SELECT* statements to help in specifying non-determinism.

ANY has the least restrictions on non-determinism, *CHOICE* narrows down a potentially huge amount of alternatives by introducing many branches of alternatives, and *SELECT* allows one to control when particular 'branches' of alternatives are active.

ANY x WHERE Q THEN T END

x is a new variable disjoint from any other variables defined in the system. Q is a predicate which must contain the type of x and how it may/may not relate to other variables in the system. T is a B statement that can use the value of x and other variables inside the machine. Notice that the value of x that is used in T is nondeterministically picked, but the choice must respect the predicate Q .

For example,

ANY n WHERE $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ THEN $total := total \times n$ END

This statement multiplies the machine variable $total$ by some nondeterministically picked natural number.

Weakest Precondition for **ANY**

The proof obligation for the ANY statement will involve universal quantification, so that we prove that the invariant will be preserved no matter what value for x is chosen out of the possible ones:

$$[\mathbf{ANY} \ x \ \mathbf{WHERE} \ Q \ \mathbf{THEN} \ T \ \mathbf{END}]P = \forall x. (Q \Rightarrow [T]P)$$

For example, we see that the following precondition is identically true:

$$[\mathbf{ANY} \ n \ \mathbf{WHERE} \ n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n < 50 \ \mathbf{THEN} \ total := n \times 2](total < 100)$$

$$\forall n. ((n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n < 50) \Rightarrow [total := n \times 2](total < 100))$$

$$\forall n. ((n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n < 50) \Rightarrow (n \times 2 < 100))$$

$$\forall n. ((n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n < 50) \Rightarrow (n < 50))$$

ANY e WHERE $e \in S$ THEN $x := e$ END

This construct is very heavily used in B, and sometimes it is called *nondeterministic assignment*.

It has a special symbol in B, written as follows: $x : \in S$

The proof obligation for this is derived from the general *ANY* clause and it's the following:

$$[x : \in S]P = \forall x. (x \in S \Rightarrow P) \quad x \text{ not free in } S$$

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} [x \in S](x \neq 3) &= \forall x. (x \in S \Rightarrow x \neq 3) \\ &= 3 \notin S \end{aligned}$$

CHOICE S OR T OR ... OR U END

This allows us to make a non-deterministic choice of a statement to execute. Each S, T, \dots is a valid B statement, and we could use such a construct e.g. to send a correct message or an incorrect message in a protocol.

For the proof obligation we get:

$$[\mathbf{CHOICE } S \mathbf{ OR } T \mathbf{ END}]P = [S]P \wedge [T]P$$

For example, the following weakest precondition is identically false:

$$[\mathbf{CHOICE } x := 3 \mathbf{ OR } x := 5 \mathbf{ END}](x = 4)$$

SELECT statement

This statement allows us to control which 'branches' of the options are active at one time, rather than having all branches active as in the **CHOICE** statement. The optional **ELSE** clause will be executed if none of the conditionals Q_n are satisfied. The syntax is as follows:

```
SELECT  $Q_1$  THEN  $T_1$   
WHEN  $Q_2$  THEN  $T_2$   
WHEN ...  
WHEN  $Q_n$  THEN  $T_n$   
ELSE  $V$   
END
```

Weakest Precondition for **SELECT**

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{SELECT} \ Q_1 \ \mathbf{THEN} \ T_1 \\ \mathbf{WHEN} \ Q_2 \ \mathbf{THEN} \ T_2 \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{WHEN} \ Q_n \ \mathbf{THEN} \ T_n \\ \mathbf{END} \end{array} \right] P = \left(\begin{array}{l} Q_1 \Rightarrow [T_1]P \\ \wedge \ Q_2 \Rightarrow [T_2]P \\ \dots \\ \wedge \ Q_n \Rightarrow [T_n]P \end{array} \right)$$

B machine with Nondeterminism - 1

MACHINE *Jukebox*

SETS *TRACK*

CONSTANTS *limit*

PROPERTIES $limit \in \mathbb{N}_1$

VARIABLES *credit, playset*

INVARIANT $credit \in \mathbb{N} \wedge credit \leq limit \wedge playset \subseteq TRACK$

INITIALISATION $credit := 0 \parallel playset := \{\}$

B machine with Nondeterminism - 2

OPERATIONS

```
pay( cc ) =  
  PRE cc ∈  $\mathbb{N}_1$   
  THEN credit := min( { credit + cc, limit } )  
  END ;
```

```
select( tt ) =  
  PRE credit > 0 ∧ tt ∈ TRACK  
  THEN  
    CHOICE credit := credit - 1 || playset := playset ∪ { tt }  
    OR playset := playset ∪ { tt }  
  END  
END ;
```

B machine with Nondeterminism - 3

```
tt  $\leftarrow$  play =  
PRE playset  $\neq$  {}  
THEN  
  ANY tr  
  WHERE tr  $\in$  playset  
  THEN tt := tr || playset := playset - { tr }  
END  
END ;
```

B machine with Nondeterminism - 4

```
penalty =  
  SELECT  $credit > 0$  THEN  $credit := credit - 1$   
  WHEN  $playset \neq \{\}$  THEN  
    ANY  $pp$   
    WHERE  $pp \in playset$   
    THEN  $playset := playset - \{ pp \}$   
  END  
  ELSE  $skip$   
  END  
END
```

References

The material in this presentation has been obtained from

1. the b-method - an introduction. Steve Schneider. Palgrave, 2001. (This book belongs to the *cornerstones of computing* series by the same publisher)