

2. Linearity of Expectation

• Elementary, but extremely useful tool.

• Basic fact 1: if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are random variables and $X = c_1 X_1 + \dots + c_n X_n$, then:

$$E[X] = c_1 E[X_1] + \dots + c_n E[X_n]$$

Note: Nothing needs to be assumed about the independence of the X_i .

• In applications of the technique, the X_i are often 0/1-valued indicator variables for "event i occurs", in which case for $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$:

$$E[X] = \text{"expected total number of events"}$$

• Example 1. Let σ be a unif random permutation of $[n]$ and

$$X(\sigma) = \text{number of fixed points of } \sigma.$$

Consider events " i is a fixpoint of σ " and their indicators:

$$X_i(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(i) = i; \\ 0, & \text{if } \sigma(i) \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Clearly

$$E[X_i] = 1 \cdot \Pr(\sigma(i) = i) + 0 \cdot \Pr(\sigma(i) \neq i)$$

$$= \Pr(\sigma(i) = i) = \frac{1}{n},$$

and so

$$E[X] = E[X_1 + \dots + X_n] = \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} = 1.$$

Basic fact 2: If X is a random variable on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , then there are $w, w' \in \Omega$ s.t.

$$X(w) \leq E[X], \quad X(w') \geq E[X]$$

Theorem 2.1 (Stein 1943).

for any n , there is a tournament T with n players and at least $2^{n-1}/2^n$ Hamiltonian paths.

[Alon (1996): Any n -player tournament contains at most $n! / (2 - o(1))^n$ Hamiltonian paths.]

Proof. Let $X = X(T)$ be the number of Hamiltonian paths in a (uniform) random tournament T with n players.

For any permutation σ of $[n]$, let X_σ be the indicator variable for σ yielding a Hamiltonian path in T .

$$X_\sigma(T) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ in } T: \sigma(1) \rightarrow \sigma(2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma(n); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } X = \sum_{\sigma} X_\sigma \text{ and}$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{\sigma} E[X_\sigma]$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} \Pr(\sigma \text{ Hamiltonian w.r.t. } T)$$

$$= n! \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{n!}{2^n}$$

Thus there is at least one tournament T s.t.

$$X(T) \geq E[X] = 2 \cdot \frac{n!}{2^n} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2

In any graph $G = (V, E)$, the vertices can be partitioned into $V = V_u \cup V(T)$, so that the number of edges crossing the cut $(T, V(T))$ is at least $|E|/2$.

Proof. Consider a random cut $(T, V(T))$ defined by $\Pr(x \in T) = 1/2$, indep. and unif. for each $x \in V$.

Denote

$X(T) =$ number of edges crossing cut T

and for each edge $e = \{x, y\} \in E$:

$$X_e(T) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \text{ crosses cut } T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$(x \in T, y \notin T \text{ or } x \notin T, y \in T)$!

Then

$$E[X_e] = \Pr(e \text{ crosses } T) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_e X_e\right] = \sum_e E[X_e] = |E| \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence there is at least one cut T s.t.

$$X(T) \geq E[X] = \frac{|E|}{2}$$

□

• Now that the technique is established, the proofs of the following complicated-looking results are straightforward:

Theorem 2.3 For any n and k , there is a two-colouring of K_n inducing at most $\binom{n}{k} / 2^{\binom{k}{2} - 1}$

monochromatic K_k 's. \square

Theorem 2.4 For any m, n, h, k , there is a two-colouring of $K_{m,n}$ [the complete bipartite graph on $m+n$ vertices] inducing at most $\binom{m}{h} \binom{n}{k} / 2^{hk-1}$

monochromatic $K_{h,k}$'s. \square

Balancing vectors

• How well can linear combinations of basis vectors be approximated with sparse (±1) coefficients?

Theorem 2.4 Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|v_i\| = 1$. Then there exist $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m \in \{-1, 1\}$ s.t.

$$\|\epsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \epsilon_m v_m\| \leq \sqrt{m}$$

and also $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m \in \{-1, 1\}$ s.t.

$$\|\epsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \epsilon_m v_m\| \geq \sqrt{m}$$

Proof. Choose the $\epsilon_j \in \{\epsilon+1, -1\}$ indep. of random, and consider r.v.

$$X(\underline{\epsilon}) = \|\epsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \epsilon_m v_m\|^2$$

Then:

$$X(\underline{\epsilon}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon_j v_j \right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon_j v_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \epsilon_j \epsilon_k v_j^T v_k$$

and so:

$$E[X] = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m E[\epsilon_j \epsilon_k] v_j^T v_k = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k \\ 1, & j = k \end{cases} = \sum_{j=1}^m \|v_j\|^2 = m.$$

Hence there exist specific $\underline{\epsilon}^1, \underline{\epsilon}^2$ s.t.

$$X(\underline{\epsilon}^1) \leq m, \quad X(\underline{\epsilon}^2) \geq m.$$

Taking square roots completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.5 Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|v_i\| \leq 1$ $\forall i$. Then for arbitrary $p_1, \dots, p_m \in [0, 1]$ and $u = p_1 v_1 + \dots + p_m v_m$ there exist $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m \in \{0, 1\}$ s.t. for $v = \varepsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_m v_m$: $\|u - v\| \leq \frac{\|u\|}{2}$.

Proof Idea: "approximating real coefficients".

Pick the $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ indep. w/ probability $\Pr(\varepsilon_i = 1) = p_i$ and consider v .

$$X(\varepsilon) = \|u - v\|^2$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m (p_i - \varepsilon_i) v_i \right\|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (p_i - \varepsilon_i)(p_j - \varepsilon_j) v_i^T v_j$$

Now for $i \neq j$:

$$E[(p_i - \varepsilon_i)(p_j - \varepsilon_j)] = E[p_i - \varepsilon_i] E[p_j - \varepsilon_j] = 0$$

and for $i = j$: $\text{Var}[\varepsilon_i]$

$$E[(p_i - \varepsilon_i)^2] = p_i(p_i - 1)^2 + (1 - p_i)p_i^2 = p_i(1 - p_i) \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

Thus:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(1 - p_i) \|v_i\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot m$$

and the rest of the proof concludes as in Thm 2.4.

□