## Solutions

1. (a) Let us present state transitions as a graph:


Then we may summarise probabilities for individual states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(1,2)=0.50 \times 0.25=0.125 \\
& P(2,1)=0.50 \times 0.50=0.25 \\
& P(2,2)=0.25 \times 0.50=0.125 \\
& P(2,3)=0.25 \times 0.25+0.25 \times 0.25=0.125 \\
& P(3,2)=0.50 \times 0.25+0.25 \times 0.50=0.25 \\
& P(3,3)=0.25 \times 0.25+0.25 \times 0.25=0.125
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of probabilities is 1 (as it should).
(b) We begin by writing down a set of equations for the expected utilities $u_{i j}$ for each state $(i, j)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{12}=-0.25+0.5 u_{12}  \tag{1}\\
u_{23}=-0.25+0.5 a+0.25 u_{23} \\
u_{22}=-0.25+0.5 u_{21}+0.25 u_{12}-0.25=0 \\
u_{21}=-0.25+a+0.25 u_{21}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note in particular how the cost -0.25 of a move is incorporated in each equation. The set of equations is solved as follows.
$(1) \Longrightarrow 0.5 u_{12}=-0.25 \Longrightarrow u_{12}=-0.5$.
$(3) \Longrightarrow 0.5 u_{21}=0.5-0.25 u_{12}=0.625 \Longrightarrow u_{21}=\frac{0.625}{0.5}=1.25$.
$(4) \Longrightarrow a=0.75 u_{21}+0.25=1.1875$
$(2) \Longrightarrow 0.75 u_{23}=0.5 a-0.25 \Longrightarrow u_{23}=\frac{0.5 a-0.25}{0.75} \approx 0.4583$.
Thus $u_{12}=-0.5, u_{21}=1.25, u_{23} \approx 0.4583, a=1.1875$ ja $2 a=2.375$.
(c) Let us calculate the expected utility $u_{12}$ when $\leftarrow$ is the action assigned to $(1,2)$ by the policy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{12}=-0.25+0.50 u_{12}+0.25 u_{12}+0.25 u_{12} \\
& \Longrightarrow u_{12}=-0.25+u_{12} \\
& \Longrightarrow 0=-0.25
\end{aligned}
$$

There is no solution, i.e., the expected utility $u_{21}$ cannot be determined. This is because $u_{21} \longrightarrow-\infty$.
2. Given the simplified (fully observable) grid environment

the state space of the agent is $S=\{(1,1),(2,1),(3,1),(2,2),(3,2)\}$ and the set of possible actions $A=\{\leftarrow, \uparrow, \rightarrow, \downarrow\}$.
A policy $\pi$ is an arbitrary function from $S$ to $A$. In other words, a policy attachs a unique action $a=\pi(s)$ to each state $s$, and the agent executes $a$ every time it is in $s$. An optimal policy $\pi^{*}$ assigns to each state $s$ an action $a=\pi^{*}(s)$ that maximises the expected utility $\mathrm{EU}_{s}(a)=$ $\sum_{s^{\prime}} T\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right) U\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ where $T\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)$ gives the transition probability from $s$ to $s^{\prime}$. Note that $\sum_{s^{\prime}} T\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)=1$ holds for each state $s$ and action $a$.
(a) The value iteration algorithm computes iteratively the new utility values for each state $s$ :

$$
U_{i+1}(s)=R(s)+\max _{a} \sum_{s^{\prime}} T\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right) U_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $R(s)$ is the reward of the state (here 1 in $(3,2),-1$ in $(3,1)$, and -0.2 in all other states). Such a calculation is repeated until utility values converge, i.e., $\left|U_{i+1}(s)-U_{i}(s)\right|$ becomes small enough for each state $s$. Then the action with the maximum expected utility is chosen as $\pi^{*}(s)$ for a particular state $s$.

Round $i=0$ :

| State $s$ | $a$ | $\mathrm{EU}_{s}(a)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2,2)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.2$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-0.2)+0.1 \cdot 1=-0.08$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot 1+0.2 \cdot(-0.2)=0.76$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-0.2)+0.1 \cdot 1=-0.08$ |  |
| $(2,1)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.2$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-0.2)+0.1 \cdot(-1)=-0.28$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-1)+0.2 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.84$ |  |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-0.2)+0.1 \cdot(-1)=-0.28$ |  |
| $(1,1)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.2$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.2$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.2$ |  |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.2)=-0.2$ |  |

So, the optimal action in $(2,2)$ is $\rightarrow$ and in $(2,1)$ it is $\leftarrow$. Since all actions have the same expected utilities in $(1,1)$ the choice is free:


The new expected utilities are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{1}(2,2)=-0.2+0.76=0.56 \\
& U_{1}(2,1)=-0.2-0.2=-0.4 \\
& U_{1}(1,1)=-0.2-0.2=-0.4
\end{aligned}
$$

Round $i=1$ :

| State $s$ | $a$ | $\mathrm{EU}_{s}(a)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2,2)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot 0.56+0.1 \cdot(-0.4)=0.464$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.9 \cdot 0.56+0.1 \cdot 1=0.604$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot 1+0.1 \cdot 0.56+0.1 \cdot(-0.4)=0.816$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-0.4)+0.1 \cdot 0.56+0.1 \cdot 1=-0.164$ |  |
| $(2,1)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-0.4)+0.1 \cdot 0.56=-0.304$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.8 \cdot 0.56+0.1 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-0.4)=0.308$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-0.4)+0.1 \cdot 0.56=-0.784$ |  |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-0.4)+0.1 \cdot(-1)=-0.46$ |  |
| $(1,1)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.4)=-0.4$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.4)=-0.4$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.4)=-0.4$ |  |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-0.4)=-0.4$ |  |

The resulting policy is

and the new utility values are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{2}(2,2)=-0.2+0.816=0.616 \\
& U_{2}(2,1)=-0.2+0.308=0.108 \\
& U_{2}(1,1)=-0.2-0.4=-0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

While continuing the execution of the value iteration algorithm, the optimal actions in $(2,2)$ and $(2,1)$ stay unchanged. Finally, the state $(1,1)$ gets a (unique) optimal action because the utility of $(2,1)$ becomes higher than that of $(1,1)$. Thus, the resulting policy is:


This is actually optimal but it takes still several rounds of the algorithm until the utility values stabilize.
(b) In policy iteration we start by creating a random policy $\pi_{0}$. Then, we compute the utility values of states given the policy $\pi_{i}$, revise the policy $\pi_{i}$ to $\pi_{i+1}$ by choosing the actions with highest expected utilities, and compute new utility values. This process is continued until the policy under construction stabilises, i.e., $\pi_{i+1}=\pi_{i}$. Suppose that the following random policy $\pi_{0}$ is chosen:


The utilities given $\pi_{0}$ can be computed analytically by solving the following group of equations. In the following, $u_{i j}$ denotes the utility of the state $(i, j)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{11}=0.2 u_{11}+0.8 u_{21}-0.2 \\
& u_{21}=0.8 u_{11}+0.1 u_{21}+0.1 u_{22}-0.2 \\
& u_{22}=0.9 u_{22}+0.1 \cdot 1-0.2
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution for this set of equations is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{11}=-5.25 \\
& u_{21}=-5 \\
& u_{22}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we compute the expected utilities for different actions:

| State $s$ | $a$ | $\mathrm{EU}_{s}(a)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2,2)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-5)=-1.4$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot 1=-0.8$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot 1+0.1 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-5)=0.2$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-5)+0.1 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot 1=-4$ |  |
| $(2,1)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-5.25)+0.1 \cdot(-5)+0.1 \cdot(-1)=-4.8$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-5.25)=-1.425$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-5)+0.1 \cdot(-1)=-1.4$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-5)+0.1 \cdot(-1)+0.1 \cdot(-5.25)=-4.625$ |  |
| $(1,1)$ | $\leftarrow$ | $1 \cdot(-5.25)=-5.25$ |  |
|  | $\uparrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-5.25)+0.1 \cdot(-5)=-5.225$ |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $0.8 \cdot(-5)+0.2 \cdot(-5.25)=-5.05$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $0.9 \cdot(-5.25)+0.1 \cdot(-5)=-5.225$ |  |

The revised policy $\pi_{1}$ is


After the next round of the algorithm, the action for $(2,1)$ changes to the optimal one, i.e., $\uparrow$.

