## Solutions

1. (a) The costs of the three routes are as follows:

| Route | Time (min) | Fares $(\mathrm{mk})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 57 | 39 |
| $I I$ | 33 | 26 |
| $I I I$ | 55 | 20 |

If the engineer's hourly salary is $a=40 \mathrm{mk}$, the values of the cost function $U(t, m)=m+a t$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I: } U(57,39)=39+\frac{57}{60} \cdot 40=77.00(\mathrm{mk}) \\
& \text { II: } U(33,26)=26+\frac{33}{60} \cdot 40=48.00(\mathrm{mk}) \\
& \text { III: } U(55,20)=20+\frac{55}{60} \cdot 40=56.70(\mathrm{mk})
\end{aligned}
$$

These values indicate that the second route is the best alternative. The point where $I I I$ becomes better than $I I$ can be found by solving the following inequality:

$$
\frac{33}{60} x+26 \geq \frac{55}{60} x+20 \Longleftrightarrow x \leq 16.36(\mathrm{mk} / \mathrm{h}) .
$$

Thus the engineer should earn less than $16.36 \mathrm{mk} / \mathrm{h}$ to make route $I I I$ a cheaper one. When $a$ varies in the range $0-100$, the respective costs for the three routes have been plotted in the figure given below.


As regards costs, we note that $I$ dominates (yields higher costs in any event) the two other routes so that it can be safely ignored by the engineer.
(b) Let us then introduce a revised cost function

$$
U\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, m\right)=a_{1} t_{1}+a_{2} t_{2}+m
$$

with parameters $a_{1}=1.5 a$ and $a_{2}=0.5 a$. The following times and ticket fares are associated with the routes under consideration:

| Route | Time $t_{1}(\min )$ | Time $t_{2}(\mathrm{~min})$ | Fares $(\mathrm{mk})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 25 | 32 | 39 |
| II | 12 | 21 | 26 |
| III | 45 | 10 | 20 |

Thus, the overall costs of the routes are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I: } U(25,32,39)=39+\frac{25}{60} \cdot 60+\frac{32}{60} \cdot 20=74.70(\mathrm{mk}) \\
& \text { II: } U(12,21,26)=26+\frac{12}{60} \cdot 60+\frac{21}{60} \cdot 20=45.00(\mathrm{mk}) \\
& \text { III: } U(45,10,20)=20+\frac{45}{60} \cdot 60+\frac{10}{60} \cdot 20=68.33(\mathrm{mk})
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, the second route turned out to be better than the others. The following figure shows how costs change as the function of the engineer's hourly salary:


Therefore, none of the options dominates within this interval.
(c) If the outcomes of choices made by the engineer are not deterministic, we use the expected utility $E[U(X)]$ as the basis for decisions. The probability distributions for the three options are:

| Route | Time $t(\mathrm{~min})$ | $P(t)$ |  | Route | Time $t(\mathrm{~min})$ | $P(t)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 57 | 0.75 |  | $I I I$ | 55 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 58 | 0.20 |  | 56 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | 0.05 |  | 57 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33 | 0.30 |  | 60 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $I I$ | 34 | 0.20 |  | 61 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43 | 0.20 |  | 65 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48 | 0.30 |  | 66 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 0.17 |
|  |  |  | 71 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 75 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |

These lead to the following expected values and costs:

| Route | $E(t)(\min )$ | $U(t, m)(\mathrm{mk})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 57.45 | 77.3 |
| $I I$ | 39.7 | 52.47 |
| III | 61.6 | 61.06 |

Thus $I I$ is again the leading option for the engineer.


