

1. Translate the formula  $\diamond\Box\diamond P \rightarrow \diamond P$  into predicate logic. Using the translated formula and tableaux for predicate logic, show that the original formula is **KB**-valid.
2. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, R_1, R_2, R_3, v \rangle$ , where
 
$$S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\},$$

$$R_1 = \{ \langle s_1, s_1 \rangle, \langle s_2, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_3, s_3 \rangle, \langle s_4, s_4 \rangle, \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_2, s_1 \rangle \},$$

$$R_2 = \{ \langle s_1, s_1 \rangle, \langle s_2, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_3, s_3 \rangle, \langle s_4, s_4 \rangle, \langle s_3, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_2, s_3 \rangle \},$$

$$R_3 = \{ \langle s_1, s_1 \rangle, \langle s_2, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_3, s_3 \rangle, \langle s_4, s_4 \rangle, \langle s_3, s_4 \rangle, \langle s_4, s_3 \rangle \}$$
 ja  
 $v(s_1, P) = v(s_2, P) = v(s_3, P) = \text{true}, v(s_4, P) = \text{false}.$ 
 Which of the following claims hold in the logic **S5**?
  - a)  $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models EP$
  - b)  $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models EEP$
  - c)  $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models CP$ .
3. Show that the following holds for any formula  $\phi$ : If  $\phi$  is not **S5**-valid, then there is a counter-model for  $\phi$  (a model in which  $\phi$  is false) having at most as many possible worlds as there are subformulas of  $\phi$ .

1. CTL is defined by using the operators **A**, **E**, **X**, and **U** which in turn can be used in defining additional operators:

**EXP**:  $\neg\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\neg P$

**AFP**:  $\mathbf{A}(\top\mathbf{U}P)$

**EFP**:  $\mathbf{E}(\top\mathbf{U}P)$

**AGP**:  $\neg\mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg P$

**EGP**:  $\neg\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}\neg P$

For each of these new operators, define its semantics as was done for the basic operators in the lecture notes. For example,

$\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}P$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}, t \models P$  for all  $t$  for which  $sRt$  holds.

2. LTL is defined by using the operators **X** and **U** which in turn can be used in defining additional operators:

**FP**:  $\top\mathbf{U}P$

**GP**:  $\neg\mathbf{F}\neg P$

**PRQ**:  $\neg((\neg P)\mathbf{U}(\neg Q))$

For each of these new operators, define its semantics as was done for the basic operators in the lecture notes. For example,

$\mathcal{M}, x \models \mathbf{X}P$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}, x^1 \models P$ .

3. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be atomic formulas and  $\mathcal{F} = \langle S, R \rangle$ , where

$$S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2\}$$

$$R = \{ \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle, \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_2, s_2 \rangle \}.$$

Define a valuation  $v$  for  $P$  and  $Q$  in the worlds of frame  $\mathcal{F}$  in such a way that

$$\mathcal{M}, x \models P\mathbf{U}Q \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}, x \not\models Q\mathbf{R}P.$$

hold for the full path  $x = (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_2, s_2, \dots)$  of the model  $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, R, v \rangle$ .

1. Formalize the following sentences in CTL.
  - a)  $P$  is true in the current state and  $Q$  is true in some state in the future.
  - b)  $P$  is true in some state in the future and, starting from that state, false in all states in the future.
  - c) If  $P$  is true in any two successive states, then starting from the latter of these two states there is a state in the future in which  $Q$  is true.
  - d) If  $P$  is true in the current state, then  $P$  stays true until  $Q$  becomes true. Otherwise,  $P$  must become true in at most two steps.
  - e) There is a path on which  $P$  is true until a state is reached starting from which  $Q$  alternates successively between true and false.
  - f) On a path, if there is a state in which  $P$  is true, then there is no state on the path in which  $Q$  or  $R$  is true.
2.
  - a) Find a model in which the CTL formula  $\mathbf{AFP}$  is satisfiable and the LTL formula  $\mathbf{GFP}$  is unsatisfiable.
  - b) Find a model in which the CTL formula  $\mathbf{EFAGP}$  is valid and the LTL formula  $\mathbf{FGP}$  is not.
  - c) Find a model in which the LTL formula  $\mathbf{FXP}$  is satisfiable and the CTL formula  $\mathbf{EFAXP}$  is unsatisfiable.
3. Find a model in which the sets of CTL\* formulas
  - a)  $\{\mathbf{E}(\neg VUP), \mathbf{E}(VU\neg P), \mathbf{AF}(V \rightarrow \mathbf{AX}\neg V) \wedge \mathbf{EFV}\}$
  - b)  $\{\mathbf{AG}(P \rightarrow \mathbf{FV}), \mathbf{AF}(P \wedge \mathbf{F}(\neg P \wedge \mathbf{XP})), \mathbf{A}(\neg VUV)\}$

are valid.