T-79.4201 Spring 2006

## Search Problems and Algorithms Tutorial 7, 24 March Problems

- 1. (i) Give a general technique for translating a finite discrete CSP to an equivalent propositional satisfiability (SAT) problem where in a finite discrete CSP each variable has a finite domain and each constraint is a finite set of tuples.
  - (ii) Use the translation to map the CSP below to a SAT problem.

$$\langle C_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), C_2(x_1, x_3), x_1 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, x_2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, x_3 \in \{1, 2, 3\} \rangle$$

where 
$$C_1 = \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 2, 3), (3, 2, 3)\}$$
 and  $C_2 = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 2)\}.$ 

- 2. Consider the global cardinality constraint  $gcc_{l,u}(X)$  where X is a vector  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  of variables and l, u are functions from the union of domains  $D_1, \ldots, D_n$  of variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  to non-negative integers. A tuple  $t = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in D_1 \times \cdots \times D_n$  belongs to  $gcc_{l,u}(X)$  iff  $l(a_i) \leq \#(a_i, t) \leq u(a_i)$  where  $\#(a_i, t)$  denotes the number of times the value  $a_i$  appears in the tuple t.
  - (i) Express the alldiff(X) constraint using  $gcc_{l,u}(X)$ .
  - (ii) Consider the constraint  $gcc_{l,u}(x_1,\ldots,x_8)$  where for all  $i=1,\ldots,8,\ D_i=\{1,2,3\}$  and for all  $a\in\{1,2,3\}, l(a)=0, u(a)=2$ . Is this constraint hyper-arc consistent?
- 3. Compare WalkSAT and Novelty algorithms.
  - (i) Give a setting for the parameter p such that Novelty is deterministic but WalkSAT is not.
  - (ii) Explain why Novelty is said to be greedier than WalkSAT.
- 4. Write an integer program such that variables  $x_1, x_2$  can have only values 0 or 1 and variable  $x_3$  has the value of the Boolean function  $xor(x_1, x_2)$  in all feasible solutions.