T-79.3001 Logic in Computer Science: Foundations Spring 2009 Exercise 8 ([Nerode and Shore, 1997], Predicate Logic, Chapters 4 and 9) March 26 – March 30, 2009

Tutorial problems

1. Let S be a structure with a universe $U = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and the respective interpretations of function symbol f and a predicate symbol E as follows:

$$f^{\mathcal{S}}(n) = n + 2 \pmod{3},$$

$$E^{\mathcal{S}} = \{ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 2 \rangle \}.$$

- a) Draw the structure as a graph, where vertices are elements of U and E describes the edges.
- b) Show using the truth definition, that $S \models \forall x \exists y E(x, y)$.
- c) Show using the truth definition, that $S \models \exists x \neg E(x, f(x))$.
- **2.** Show that the following statements are true:

a)
$$\{ \forall x (A(x) \lor B(x)) \} \not\models \forall x A(x) \lor \forall x B(x)$$
.

b)
$$\forall x \exists y G(x, y) \not\equiv \exists y \forall x G(x, y)$$
.

3. Transform the following sentences into clausal form:

a)
$$\forall x \exists y \Big(P(x,y) \to \neg \big(Q(x,y) \lor \forall z Q(z,x) \big) \Big)$$
.

b)
$$\neg \exists x \Big(\exists y \big(A(x,y) \land B(y,x) \big) \rightarrow \neg \forall y B(y,x) \Big).$$

Demonstration problems

4. Let R be a binary predicate with interpretation $R^S \subseteq U \times U$ (the set U is the domain of structure S). In the following table we give definitions for some properties of relation R^S .

Property	Definition
reflexivity	$\forall x R(x,x)$
irreflexivity	$\forall x \neg R(x,x)$
symmetry	$\forall x \forall y (R(x,y) \rightarrow R(y,x))$
asymmetry	$\forall x \forall y (R(x,y) \to \neg R(y,x))$
transitivity	$\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x,y) \land R(y,z) \rightarrow R(x,z))$
seriality	$\forall x \exists y R(x, y)$

Consider a domain U consisting of people. Give examples of relations R^S , $(\emptyset \subset R^S \subset U^2)$, that have properties described above.

- **5.** Show that the following sentences are not valid by constructing a structure in which the sentence is false, i.e., construct a counter-example.
 - a) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists y \forall x P(x, y)$
 - b) $\exists x (P(x) \lor Q(x)) \rightarrow \exists x P(x) \land \exists x Q(x)$
 - c) $\neg \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow R(x)) \lor \neg \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow \neg R(x))$
- **6.** Transform the following sentences into conjunctive normal form and perform skolemization.
 - a) $\forall y (\exists x P(x, y) \rightarrow \forall z Q(y, z)) \land \exists y (\forall x R(x, y) \lor \forall x Q(x, y))$
 - b) $\exists x \forall y R(x, y) \leftrightarrow \forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
 - c) $\forall x \exists y Q(x,y) \lor (\exists x \forall y P(x,y) \land \neg \exists x \exists y P(x,y))$
 - d) $\neg(\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x \exists y R(x, y)) \land \forall x \neg \exists y Q(x, y)$
- **7.** Use the rules in Lemma 9.1 [NS, 1997, page 129] to obtain rules for the following cases.
 - a) $\forall x \phi(x) \rightarrow \psi$
 - b) $\exists x \phi(x) \rightarrow \psi$
 - c) $\phi \rightarrow \forall x \psi(x)$
 - d) $\phi \rightarrow \exists x \psi(x)$
- **8.** Transform the following sentences into clausal form.
 - a) $\neg \exists x ((P(x) \rightarrow P(a)) \land (P(x) \rightarrow P(b)))$
 - b) $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
 - c) $\neg \forall y \exists x G(x, y)$
 - d) $\exists x \forall y \exists z (P(x,z) \lor P(z,y) \to G(x,y))$