# **Landscape Families**

Timo Latvala

# Outline

- Fitness Landscapes
- Spin Glasses
- N-K landscapes
- Optimisation problems
  - Travelling Salesman
  - Assignment

# **Fitness Landscapes: Introduction**

- The concept of 'fitness landscapes' stems from Wright's studies in theoretical biology in the 1930s.
- The concept was used to explain the evolution and fitness of genotypes.
- More resently it has been applied to the understanding of combinatorial problems.
- Especially interesting is what landscape features characterise hard problems.

More formally, the key ingredients of a fitness landscape are

- 1. a set X of configurations,
- 2. a notion of neighbourhood, nearness, distance, or accessibility on X, and
- 3. a fitness function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$

# **Spin Glasses: Introduction**

- Spin glasses are magnetic substances which have complicated magnetic interactions.
- They can both exhibit so called ferromagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic interaction.
- Spin glasses are amorphous substances which show structure only for a few tens atom lengths.
- Amorphous substances are usually 'transparent' for some wavelengths of light.
- The spin glass model tries to model the magnetic interaction and properties of the substancess.

## **Spin Glasses: General Model**

- $\bullet\,$  a crystal lattice with N lattice sites
- Each site i is assigned a vector quantity  $s_i$ , the magnetic spin.
- The sites interact according to a *coupling constant*  $J_{ij}$ .
- A configuration of the system is a assignment to the N magnetic spins.
- The energy, or the *Hamiltonian*, of the system at particular state s is

$$H(\mathbf{s}) = -\sum_{i>k} J_{ij}\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_k$$

### **Spin Glasses: Ground States**

- One of the most interesting problems for a spin glass is finding the minimum energy configuration, the *ground state*.
- **Definition.** The problem GROUND STATE is to find the minimum energy configuration given the necessary input to compute the Hamiltonian of the system.
- The model presented above is usually too general for analysis in any manner, which is why simpler forms are usually analysed.

#### **Spin Glasses: Ising Models**

- In the ising model the spins  $s_i$  are restricted to  $s_i = \pm 1$ .
- Consider a graph  $G_N = (V, E)$  with N vertexes.
- Each vertex  $i \in V$  is associated with magnetic spin  $s_i$ .
- Each edge  $\{i, j\}$  is labelled with a coupling constant  $J_{ij}$ .
- The energy of a state  $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N)$  is given by:

$$H(s) = -\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E} J_{ij}s_is_j.$$

#### **Ising Models: Relation to Cuts**

- Let  $C^+ = \{i | s_i = 1\}$  and  $C^- = \{i | s_i = -1\}$ .
- Let  $E^+$  be the edges with endpoints only in  $C^+$  and  $E^-$  in  $C^-$  respectively. The edges which go between the two partitions are denoted  $E^{\pm}$ .
- The weight of a cut  $C = (C^+, C^-)$  is defined as

weight(C) = 
$$\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E^{\pm}} J_{ij}$$

## **Ising Models: Relation to Cuts**

• Using weight(C) the Hamiltonian can be rewritten

$$H(C) = -\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E^{+}} J_{ij} - \sum_{\{i,j\}\in E^{-}} J_{ij} - \sum_{\{i,j\}\in E^{\pm}} J_{ij} = -\sum_{\{i,j\}\in E} J_{ij} + 2weight(C)$$

• MINIMUM WEIGHT CUT is NP-complete  $\rightarrow$  GROUND STATE is NP-complete.

# **Ising Models: Partition Functions**

• Physicists are also interested in the so called *partition function*. It is defined as

$$Z = \sum_{\{s\}} exp(-\beta H(s)).$$

- The partition function in one sense completely characterises the system.
- With knowledge of the partition function the ground state among other things can effectively be computed.
- Consequently it is at least as hard as finding the ground state.
- A naive approach to solving to compute the partition function would require  $2^N$  summations.

# **Ising Models: Partition Functions**

- Analytical exact solutions are available for 1-D and planar 2-D geometries.
- Finding generalisations turned out to be impossible.
- MINIMUM WEIGHT CUT problem is polynomial when the graph is planar
- **Theorem.** (Istrail) Finding the partition function is NP-hard for every non-planar crystal lattice.

#### **Ising Models: Limit Solutions**

• By assigning a probability distribution to the set of states in the system, the methods of statistical mechanics (S& M ;))are available.

$$P(s) = exp(-\beta H(s))/Z$$

- Results apply in the limit  $N \to \infty$  (thermodynamical limit).
- The coupling constants adhere to some probability distribution
- Example result: if  $J_{ij}$  is 1 or -1 with equal probability the minimum of the Hamiltonian takes on the value  $-.7633N^{3/2}$ .

# **N-K Landscapes: Introduction**

- Introduced by Stuart Kauffmann.
- A family of landscapes where the ruggedness the number of local optima could easily be tuned.
- Applications: protein folding, evolutionary computation, models of genotype evolution, etc.

#### **N-K Landscapes: Definitions**

- The N-K model can be seen as a simple way of generating a tunable fitness function on bit strings, x = x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub>...x<sub>N</sub>.
- A fitness function f is constructed by generating N component functions  $f_i$ .
- Each  $f_i$  depends on K + 1 bits.
- The fitness function f is the average of the component functions.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{K+1}})$$

• Moving in the landscape is done by flipping a single bit of the input string.

## **N-K Landscapes: Variations**

- In the *adjacent* model  $f_i$  depends on *i*:th bit and K adjacent bits.
- In the random model  $f_i$  depends on the *i*:th bit and K other randomly chosen bits.
- In the *arbitrary* model  $f_i$  depends on K + 1 randomly chosen bits.
- The values for the component functions are constructed by for each function sampling  $2^{K+1}$  values from a random distribution, which usually is Gaussian.

# **N-K Landscapes: Properties**

- For the case K=0, each component function is independent of the other functions. A simple hill climbing algorithm will find the unique optimum.
- In case when K = N 1 each component function is dependent an all of the bits of the string.
- Each bit string is statistically independent of its neighbours.
- – The probability that a random bit string is a local optimum is  $\frac{1}{N+1}$ .
  - The expected number of local optima is  $\frac{2^N}{N+1}$ .
  - A hill climbing algorithm is expected to hit a local optimum in ln(N-1) steps.

#### **N-K Landscapes: Properties**

- When 1 ≤ K < N − 1 the component functions depend on some but not all bits in the string.
  - When K is small, many of the bits are the same for the highest local optima. This correlation decreases when K is increased.
  - The average Hamming distance between local optima is approximately

$$\frac{Nlog_2(K+1)}{2(K+1)}$$

# **N-K Landscapes: Complexity**

- Theorem. (Weinberger) The N-K optimisation problem with adjacent neighbourhoods is solvable in  $O(2^K N)$  steps and is thus in  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- For arbitrary neighbourhoods, i.e. when  $f_i$  can depend on any bits, the problem is difficult for  $K \ge 1$ . The problem can be reduced to the NP-complete problem MAX2SAT. **Theorem.** The N-K optimisation problem with random neighbourhoods is polynomial for K = 1.
- **Theorem.** The N-K optimisation problem with random neighbourhoods is NP-complete for  $K \ge 2$ . (Reduction to MAX2SAT possible again)
- **Theorem.** The approximation threshold for the algorithm with  $K \ge 2$  is at most  $1 \frac{1}{2^{K+1}}$ .

**N-K Landscapes: Approximation** 

function N-K-OPTIM () : (bit string) = for i from 0 to N-1 do  $S_0 \leftarrow$  subset of S where the *i*:th bit is 0  $M_0 \leftarrow \text{average of } f \text{ over } S_0$  $S_1 \leftarrow \text{subset of } S \text{ where the } i: \text{th bit is } 1$  $M_1 \leftarrow \text{average of } f \text{ over } S_1$ if  $M_0 > M_1$  then  $s[i] \leftarrow 0$  $S \leftarrow S_0$ else  $s[i] \leftarrow 1$  $S \leftarrow S_1$ od

return s //Return the approximate string

# **Optimisation Problems: Introduction**

- In the following we will discuss two well-known optimisation problems: travelling salesman (TSP) and ASSIGNMENT.
- The problems are in many ways similar but they have crucial difference.
- TSP is NP-complete while ASSIGNMENT is in P.

#### **Optimisation Problems: TSP**

- An instance of the travelling salesman problem is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $(a_{ij})$ , where each  $a_{ij} \ge 0$ .
- The problem is to find the permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \ldots, N\}$  such that  $C(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\pi(i),\pi(i+1)}$  (where by  $\pi(n+1)$  we mean  $\pi(1)$ ) is minimised.
- TSP belongs to the category problems for which no polynomial approximation scheme is possible unless P = NP

# **TSP: Spin Glass Analysis**

- TSP was one the first combinatorial optimisation problems to which the probabilistic methods developed for spin glasses were applied.
- The links  $a_{ij}$  are considered as uniformly distributed random variables on [0, 1]
- The cost function  $C(\pi)$  is interpreted as the Hamiltonian.
- The tours have a probability according to the formula above.
- Result: the length of the tour, with probability one, is in the large limit l = 2.08.
- The result has been corroborated with numerical simulations.

# **TSP:** Landscape

- Stadler and Schnabl have studied statistical properties of the TSP landscape for both the symmetric and the asymmetric case.
- Two different neighbourhoods are studied: transpositions and inversions.
- The study used random walks and other statistical analysis to examine the landscapes
- Symmetric case: TSP generates an AR(1) landscape.
- Asymmetric case: When transpositions are used it is once again an AR(1) landscape.
  With inversion it is more complex.

# **ASSIGNMENT:** Definition

- An instance of ASSIGNMENT is a  $n \times n$  matrix  $(a_{ij})$  where  $a_{ij} \ge 0$ .
- The problem is to find a permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  such that

$$E_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \pi(i)$$

is minimised.

• The formulation is very similar to TSP.

# **ASSIGNMENT: Spin Glass Analysis**

- Let the  $a_{ij}$  be drawn from a common probability density  $\rho(a)$
- $E_n^*$  corresponds to the Hamiltonian.
- It has been proved that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle E_n^* \rangle = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

• When the  $a_{ij}$  are drawn from an exponential distribution it has been shown that in the finite case the average optimum is

$$\langle E_n^* \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

# **ASSIGNMENT:** Landscape

- As the permutation can be arbitrary in matching there several possibilities for neighbourhoods.
- Examples include transposition, composition with another permutation, etc.
- The correlation of the landscape is the same as for TSP.