Parallel Computation

- Goal: parallel algorithms should be dramatically better than sequential ones (polylogarithmic ($\log^k n$) step counts)
  but without requiring inordinately large numbers of processors.
- The amount of work done by a parallel algorithm can be no smaller than the time complexity of the best sequential algorithm.
- Hence, parallel computation is not the answer to **NP**-completeness.

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

Models of Parallel Computation

- TMs and RAMs are sequential because of the *von Neumann property*: at each instant only a bounded amount of computational activity can occur.
- Boolean circuits are genuinely parallel.
- *Uniform families of Boolean circuits* are used as the basic model of parallel algorithms.
- Complexity measures for parallel computation:
  parallel time and parallel work

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

Models of parallel computation—cont'd

- Let $C = (C_0, C_1, \ldots)$ be a uniform family of Boolean circuits and let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions from integers to integers.
  Parallel time of C is at most $f(n)$ if for all n the *depth* of C_n is at most $f(n)$.
  Parallel work of C is at most $g(n)$ if for all n the *size* of C_n is at most $g(n)$.
- $\mathbf{PT}/\mathbf{WK}(f(n), g(n))$ is the class of languages $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ such that there is a uniform family of circuits C deciding L with $O(f(n))$ parallel time and $O(g(n))$ parallel work.

Example. REACHABILITY $\in \mathbf{PT}/\mathbf{WK}(\log^2 n, n^3 \log n)$

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

Parallel Random Access Machines

- How a realistic model of parallel computation is a circuit?
  It corresponds to parallel random access machines.
- A PRAM program is a set of RAM programs $P = (\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_q)$, one for each q RAMs.
- Each RAM executes its own program and has its own program counter and accumulator but shares all registers (including accumulators and input).
  For concurrent writes the RAM with the smallest index prevails:
  PRIORITY CRCW PRAM

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

PRAMs—cont'd

- (PRIORITY CWRW) PRAM is a most idealized and powerful model (unrealistic: instantaneous communication; concurrent writing).
- The number q of RAMs and their text can depend on the number m of input integers and their total length n.
- $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{mn} \mid m,n \geq 0\}$ is a *uniform family of PRAMs* if there is a TM which given $1^m 0 1^n$ generates $q(m,n)$ and the programs $P_{mn} = (\Pi_{m,n,0}, \Pi_{m,n,1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m,n,q(m,n)})$ all in logarithmic space.

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

PRAMs—cont'd

- Consider F a function from finite sequence of integers to finite sequence of integers;
  Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{mn} \mid m,n \geq 0\}$ be a uniform family of PRAMs and $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ functions from positive integers to positive integers.
- $\mathcal{P}$ *computes F in parallel time f with g processors* if for each $m,n \leq 0$, for P_{mn} it holds that
  (i) it has $q(m,n) \leq g(n)$ processors and
  (ii) if P_{mn} is executed on input I of m integers with total length n, than all $q(m,n)$ RAMs reach a HALT instruction after at most $f(n)$ steps and the $k \leq q(m,n)$ first registers contain the output $F(I)$.

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

PRAMs—cont'd

- *PRAMs can simulate circuits*:
  If $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ is in $\mathbf{PT}/\mathbf{WK}(f(n),g(n))$, then there is a uniform PRAM that computes the corresponding function F_L in parallel time $O(f(n))$ using $O(\frac{g(n)}{f(n)})$ processors.
- *Circuits can simulate PRAMs*:
  Let F be computed by a uniform PRAM in parallel time $f(n)$ using $g(n)$ processors ($f(n), g(n)$ comp. from 1^n in log. space).
  Then there is a uniform family of circuits of depth $O(f(n)(f(n)+\log(n))$ and size $O(g(n)f(n)(n^k f(n)+g(n))$ which computes the binary representation of F
  where n^k is the time bound of the logarithmic-space TM computing the nth PRAM in the family given 1^n.

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

The Class NC

- What is the class of problems satisfactorily solved by parallel computers?
  $$\mathbf{NC} = \mathbf{PT}/\mathbf{WK}(\log^k n, n^k)$$
- **NC** is the class of languages decided by PRAMs in polylog parallel time and with polynomially many processors.
- Difference between polylog and poly is seen sometimes only for big inputs: $\log^3 10^8 > 18000$ and $(10^8)^{1/2} = 10000$
- Consider more refined classes: $\mathbf{NC}_j = \mathbf{PT}/\mathbf{WK}(\log^j n, n^k)$
- Another candidate class: $\mathbf{NC}_2$

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

NC—cont'd

- Clearly $\mathbf{NC} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ but is $\mathbf{NC} = \mathbf{P}$?
- Conjecture: $\mathbf{NC} \neq \mathbf{P}$
  (There seem to be problems that are inherently sequential.)
- $\mathbf{P}$-complete problems are the least likely to be in $\mathbf{NC}$:
  $\mathbf{NC}$ is closed under reductions and so is $\mathbf{NC}_2$.
- ODD MAX FLOW (given a network, is the maximum flow value odd) is $\mathbf{P}$-complete.
  (So are MAX FLOW(D), HORNSAT, CIRCUIT VALUE)

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

The $\mathbf{L} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{NL}$ problem

- Theorem: $\mathbf{NC}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{NL} \subseteq \mathbf{NC}_2$
- The *parallel computation thesis*:
  space and parallel time are polynomially related
- $\mathbf{PT/WK}(f(n), k^{f(n)}) \subseteq \mathbf{SPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathbf{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \mathbf{PT/WK}(f(n)^2, k^{f(n)^2})$
- REACHABILITY is $\mathbf{NL}$-complete.
- 2SAT is $\mathbf{NL}$-complete.
- All languages in $\mathbf{L}$ are $\mathbf{L}$-complete!

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

Alternation

- A generalization of nondeterminism
- In a nondeterministic computation each configuration is an implicit *OR* of its successors:
  a configuration "leads to acceptance" if at least one of its successors does
- The generalization: allow both *OR* and *AND* configurations.

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

Alternation—cont'd

Definition. An *alternating* Turing machine N is a nondeterministic Turing machine where the set of states K is partitioned into two sets $K = K_{\text{AND}} \cup K_{\text{OR}}$.

- Consider the tree of computations of N on input x.
- *Eventually accepting configurations*:
  (i) all leafs with state "*yess*" are eventually accepting.
  (ii) A configuration with state in K_{AND} is eventually accepting iff all its successors are.
  (iii) A configuration with state in K_{OR} is eventually accepting iff at least one of its successors is.

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio

Alternation—cont'd

- N accepts x if its initial configuration is eventually accepting.
- N decides a language L if N accepts all strings $x \in L$ and rejects all strings $x \notin L$.
- Straightforward to define $\mathbf{ATIME}(f(n))$ and $\mathbf{ASPACE}(f(n))$
- $\mathbf{AP} = \mathbf{ATIME}(n^k)$; $\mathbf{AL} = \mathbf{ASPACE}(\log n)$
- Alternating space is deterministic time but one exponential higher.

Theorem. MONOTONIC CIRCUIT VALUE is $\mathbf{AL}$-complete.

Corollary. $\mathbf{AL} = \mathbf{P}$

Corollary. $\mathbf{ASPACE}(f(n)) = \mathbf{TIME}(k^{f(n)})$

© 2003 Teknillinen korkeakoulu, Tietojenkäsittelyteorian laboratorio