

# Contents

- Introduction to data securityClassical cryptosystems
- Introduction to modern cryptography
- Block ciphers: DES, IDEA, AES
- Stream ciphers: RC4, 3gpp
- Block cipher modes of operation
- Hash-functions and MACs
   Mathematical tools: Modular arithmetic, Euclid's algorithm, Chinese Remainder Theorem, Euler's totient function, Euler's theorem
- Public key cryptosystems: RSA
- Prime number generation
- Polynomial arithmetic
- Public key cryptosystems: Diffie-Hellman, El Gamal, DSS
- Authentication and Digital signatures
- Random number generation
  - Authentication and key agreement protocols in practise: PGP, SSL/TLS, IPSEC, IKEv2 and EAP









# 2.1 Classical Cryptosystems

### Ceasar Cipher, or Shift Cipher

Plain:	meet	me	after	the	toga	party
Cipher:	PHHW	PH	DIWHU	WKH	WRJD	SDUWB

### Monoalphabetic substitution

# Alphabets

7

Plain:abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzCipher:ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

Key = permutation of the 26 characters Size of key space 26!  $\cong$  4 x 10<sup>26</sup>

Cryptanalysis based on statistical properties of the plaintext



	F	Play	fair	Cipł	ner	
	М	0	Ν	A	R	7
	С	н	Υ	В	D	
	Е	F	G	I/J	к	
	L	Р	Q	S	Т	
	U	V	W	Х	Ζ	
The encryptic	on ru	les				
Plaintext formatting		Same	row o	r colui	Regular case	
00 -> 0X0		ar -	> R1	N.	hs -> BP	
		mu -	> CI	4		ea -> IM 10





### One Time Pad

- Claude Shannon laid (1949) the information theoretic fundamentals of secrecy systems.
- Shannon's pessimistic inequality: For perfect secrecy you need as much key as you have plaintext.
- An example of a cipher which achieves perfect secrecy is the One Time Pad
   c<sub>i</sub> = (p<sub>i</sub> + k<sub>i</sub>)mod 26
  - where the key is a string of characters  $k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_i$ chosen uniformly at random.
- Practical ciphers do not provide perfect secrecy

### Block ciphers, security

- Security is measured in terms of time: How long it takes to break the cipher using available resources.
- Upperbound of security: The time complexity of exhaustive key search, which is equal to 2<sup>k</sup>, with key length of k bits.
- A second upperbound: 2<sup>n2</sup>, with block length *n* (due to Birthday paradox, to be explained later)
- If an attack leads to a break, in time 2<sup>t</sup>, where t < k, then the cipher is said to be *theoretically broken*, and that the *effective key length* of the cipher is reduced to t. (This does not mean that the cipher is broken in practise unless t is very small.)

### Block ciphers, design principles

- The ultimate design goal of a block cipher is to use the secret key as efficiently as possible.
- Confusion and diffusion (Shannon)
- New design criteria are being discovered as response to new attacks.
- A state-of-the-art block cipher is constructed taking into account all known attacks and design principles.
- But no such block cipher can become provably secure, it may remain open to some new, unforeseen attacks.
- Common constructions with iterated round function
   Substitution permutation network (SPN)
  - Feistel network

15

17

13

### DES Data Encryption Standard 1977 - 2002

- Standard for 25 years
- Finally found to be too small. DES key is only 56 bits, that is, there are about 10<sup>16</sup> different keys. By manufacturing one million chips, such that, each chip can test one million keys in a second, then one can find the key in about one minute.
- The EFF DES Cracker built in 1998 can search for a key in about 4,5 days. The cost of the machine is \$250 000.
- DES has greately contributed to the development of cryptologic research on block ciphers.
- The design was a joint effort by CIA and IBM. The design principles were not published until little-by-little. The complete set of design criteria is still unknown.
- Differential cryptanalysis 1989Linear cryptanalysis 1993

16

14

# The Security of IDEA IDEA has been around almost 15 years Designed by Xuejia Lai and Jim Massey Its only problem so far is its small block size Numerous analysis has been published, but nothing substantial It is not available in public domain, except for research purposes It is available under licence It is widely used, e.g in PGP (see Lecture 11)

















- Designed to be resistant against differential and linear cryptanalysis
  - S-boxes optimal
  - Wide Trail Strategy
- Has quite an amazing algebraic structure (see the next slide)
- Algebraic cryptanalysis tried but not yet (!)
   successful
- Algebraic cryptanalysis: given known plaintext ciphertext pairs construct algebraic systems of equations, and try to solve them.

23



### $C_i = E_{\kappa i}(P_i)$

- Function E is simple, the function which computes the key sequence is complex
- Example: Vigenère cipher, One Time Pad
   C<sub>i</sub> = (P<sub>i</sub>+ K<sub>i</sub>)mod 26

### Stream ciphers: Security

- Known plaintext gives known key stream. Chosen plaintext gives the same but nothing more.
- Chosen ciphertext attack may be a useful method for analysing a self-synchronising stream cipher.
- The attacker of a stream cipher may try to find one internal state of the stream cipher to obtain a functionally equivalent algorithm without knowing the key.
- Distinguishing a key stream sequence from a truly random sequence allows also the keystream to be predicted with some accuracy. Such attack is also called prediction attack.

Requirements:

- Long period
- A fixed initialisation value the stream cipher generates a different keystream for each key.













# Triple DES (TDEA)

DES algorithm not good as such (small key size)

Double DES with two different keys  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  not good either (security not more than single DES) due to the Meet-in-the-Middle Attack (see next slide):

Triple DES Special Publication 800-67, see

http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/index.html

Triple DES with two keys

$$C = E_{K_1}(D_{K_2}(E_{K_1}(P)))$$

reduces to single DES, in case  $K_1 = K_2$ .

### Meet in the Middle

Double DES with two different keys  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  not good either (security is not more than single DES due to the Meet-in-the-Middle Attack. Such attack can be launched when the attacker has two known plaintext-ciphertext pairs (*P*,*C*) and (*P'*,*C'*). For such pairs obtained using the secret keys  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  the attacker has  $C = E_{K_1}(E_K_1(P))$  and  $C' = E_{K_2}(E_{K_1}(P'))$  or what is the same:  $D_{K_1}(C) = E_{K_1}(P)$  and  $D_{K_2}(C) = E_{K_1}(P')$ .

Now we make a table T with a complete listing of all possible pairs  $K_2, D_{k_1}(C)$  as  $K_2$  runs through all possible  $2^{56}$  values. The table has  $2^{56}$  rows with 120 bits on each row. We make one more column to this table, and fill it with  $K_1$  values as follows: For each  $K_1$  we compute the value  $E_{\kappa_1}(P)$  and search in the table T for a match  $D_{\kappa_1}(C) = E_{\kappa_1}(P)$ . For each  $K_2$  we expect to find a (almost) unique  $K_1$  such that such a match occurs. Now we go through all key pairs  $K_1, K_2$  suggested by table T, and test against the equation  $D_{\kappa_2}(C') = E_{\kappa_1}(P')$  we have based on the second plaintext – ciphertext pair (P', C'). The solution is expected to be unique. The size of table T is  $2^{56}$  (56 + 64 + –56 bits) <  $2^{54}$  bits, which is the memory requirement of this attack. The number of encryptions (decryptions) needed is about  $4.2^{56} = 2^{56}$ .

## 5.1.Message authentication codes (MAC)

(Secret key , Message) → MAC

- A MAC of a message P of arbitrary length is computed as a function *H<sub>k</sub>(P)* of *P* under the control of a secret key *K*. The MAC is appended to the message by the sender.
- Given a message *P* and its MAC value *M*, the MAC can be verified by anybody in possession of the secret key *K* and the MAC computation algorithm.
- The MAC length *m* is fixed.
- Security requirement: it must be infeasible, without the knowledge of the secret key, to determine the correct value of H<sub>k</sub>(P) with a success probability larger than 1/2<sup>m</sup>. This is the probability of simply guessing the MAC value correctly at random. It should not be possible to increase this probability even if a large number of correct pairs P and H<sub>k</sub>(P) is available to the attacker.

# Derived security requirements

- The requirement: It must be infeasible, without the knowledge of the secret key, to determine the correct value of  $H_{\kappa}(P)$  with a success probability larger than  $1/2^{\text{m}}$ .
- This means, in particular, that the following are satisfied
- Given a message P and M = H<sub>K</sub>(P) it should be infeasible to produce a modified message P' such that H<sub>K</sub>(P') = M without the knowledge of the key
- For each K, the function P → H<sub>K</sub>(P) is one-way
   Given known MACs for a number of known (or chosen or
- adaptively chosen) messages, it should be infeasible to derive the key.

35

## MAC Designs

- Similarly as block ciphers, MAC algorithms operate on relatively large blocks of data.
- Most MACs are iterated constructions. The core function of the MAC algorithm is a compression function. At each round the compression function takes a new data block and compresses it together with the compression result from the previous rounds. Hence the length of the message to be authenticated determines how many iteration rounds are required to compute the MAC value.









# Hash functions

#### Message --- Hash code

- A hash code of a message *P* of arbitrary length is computed as a function *H*(*P*) of *P*. The hash length *m* is fixed.
- Hash function is public: Given a message *P* anybody can compute the hash code of *P*.
- · Security requirements:
  - 1. Preimage resistance: Given h it is impossible to find P such that H(P) = h
  - 2. Second preimage resistance: Given P it is impossible to find P' such that H(P) = H(P)
  - 3. Collision resistance: It is impossible to find P and P' such that  $P \neq P'$  and H(P) = H(P)

41

## **Design Principles**

- Similarly as MAC algorithms, hash functions operate on relatively large blocks of data.
- Most hash functions are iterated constructions. The core function in a hash function is a compression function. At each round the compression function takes a new data block and compresses it together with the compression result from the previous rounds. Hence the length of the message to be authenticated determines how many iteration rounds are required to compute the MAC value.

### SHA-1

- Designed by NSA
- FIPS 180-1 Standardi 1995 www.itl.nist.gov/fipspubs/fip180-1.htm

#### February 2005:

Professor Xiaoyun Wang (Shandong University) announce an algorithm which finds collisions for SHA-1 with complexity 2<sup>69</sup>

Recommendation: Use 256- or 512-bit versions of SHA: csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-2/fips180-2.pdf

43

45





which iteratively finds integers  $r_i$ ,  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  such that  $r_{i,2} - q_i \times r_{i,1} = r_i$  and  $u_i \times a + v_i \times b = r_i$ 

 $u_i = u_{i-2} - q_i \times u_{i-1}$  and  $v_i = v_{i-2} - q_i \times v_{i-1}$ 

The index i = n for which  $r_n = \text{gcd}(a,b)$  gives  $u_n = u$  and  $v_n = v$ .





Definition: Let n > 1 be integer. Then  $\phi(n) = \#\{ a \mid 0 < a < n, gcd(a,n) = 1\}$ , that is,  $\phi(n)$  is the number of positive integers less than n which are coprime with n. For prime p,  $\phi(p) = p-1$ . We set  $\phi(1) = 1$ . For a prime power, we have  $\phi(p^e) = p^{e-1}(p-1)$ Given m,n, gcd(m,n) = 1, we have  $\phi(mxn) = \phi(m) \times \phi(n)$ .

Now Euler's totient function can be computed for any integer using its prime factorisation. Example:  $\phi(18) = \phi(2x3^2) = \phi(2)x\phi(3^2) = (2-1)x(3-1)3^{1} = 6$ , that is, the number of invertible numbers modulo 18 is

that is, the number of invertible numbers modulo 18 is equal to 6. These numbers are: 1,5,7,11,13,17.











## Polynomial Arithmetic

- · Modular arithmetic with polynomials
- We limit to the case where polynomials have binary coefficients, that is, 1+1 = 0, and + is the same as -.
   Example:

$$(x^{2} + x + 1)(x^{3} + x + 1) =$$

$$x^{5} + x^{3} + x^{2} + x^{4} + x^{2} + x + x^{3} + x + 1 =$$

$$x^{5} + x = x \cdot (x^{4} + 1) = x \cdot x = x^{2} (mod(x^{4} + x + 1))$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Computation} & \mod(x^4+x+1) & \mbox{means that everywhere} \\ \mbox{we take} & x^4+x+1=0 & \mbox{,which means, for example, that} \\ & x^4+1=x. \end{array}$ 



Example: Modulo 2<sup>3</sup> arithmetic compared to GF(2<sup>3</sup>) arithmetic (multiplication). In GF(2<sup>n</sup>) arithmetic, we identify polynomials of degree less than n:  $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ with bit strings of length n:  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and further with integers less than 2<sup>n</sup>:  $a_0 + a_12 + a_22^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}2^{n-1}$ Example: In GF(2<sup>3</sup>) arithmetic with polynomial x<sup>3</sup>+x+1 (see next slide) we get:  $4\cdot 3 = (100) \cdot (011) = x^2 \cdot (x+1) = x^3 + x^2 = (x+1) + x^2 = x^2 + x+1 = (111) = 7$ 

						un	P	100				00					
modulo 8 arithmetic								G	F(2 <sup>3</sup> )	Poly	/nom	nial a	rithm	netic			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	0	2	4	6	0	2	4	6	2	0	2	4	6	3	1	7	6
3	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	3	0	3	6	5	7	4	1	2
4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	4	0	4	3	7	6	2	5	1
5	0	5	2	7	4	1	6	3	5	0	5	1	4	2	7	3	6
6	0	6	4	2	0	6	4	2	6	0	6	7	1	5	3	2	4
7	0	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	7	0	7	5	2	1	6	4	3

Generated	d ele	men	ts			
Example: Finite field Z <sub>19</sub>	i	gi		i	gi	
-	0	1		10	17	
g = 2	1	2		11	15	
$g^{i} \mod 19, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$	2	4		12	11	
Floment o 2 generates	3	8		13	3	
Element $a = 2$ generates	4	16		14	6	
Such an element is called	5	13		15	12	
	6	7		16	5	
pinnuve.	7	14		17	10	
	8	9		18	1	
	9	18				













- the authenticator to verify authenticity of the message. • Authentication functions:
  - Message encryption
  - Message authentication code (MAC function)
- Hash function

63



Messages are sent from Alice to Bob:

- Authenticity requirements:
- 1. Bob can verify that Alice sent the message
- 2. Bob can verify that the contents of the message is as it was when Alice sent it.
- 3. Bob can prove to Carol that Alice sent the message
- 4. Bob can prove to Carol what the message contents was when Alice sent it.
- 5. Alice cannot deny that she sent the message

Requirements 1 and 2 can be fulfilled using protocols based on symmetric key authentication functions.

Requirements 3-5 can be fulfilled only using protocols based on asymmetric (public key) cryptosystems: Digital Signatures

64





# The RSA Digital Signature

- RSA authenticator generation function: given D the authenticator is computed as S =D<sup>d</sup>mod n
- RSA verification function: given S, the RSA verification function is computed as  $S^e \mbox{ mod } n$
- Hash function: any hash function allowed
- Formatting of D is specified in PKCS#1 (octet string): D = 0 || 1 ||{at least eight octets of ff<sub>16</sub>} || 0 || A,
- where A is the ASN.1 encoding of the hash type and the hash code of the message. The number of all-one octets in the middle is chosen to adjust the length of D at most equal to the length of the modulus n. (I) denotes concatenation of octet strings)

# The Digital Signature Algorithm DSA

- FIPS 186-2 (2000)
- DSA is a digital signature with appendix
- The complete specification defines:
  - The asymmetric cryptosystem: Key derivation, private key operation (for signature creation), public key operation (for signature verification)

- Prime number generationThe hash function
- Pseudo-random number generator









### Random and pseudorandom numbers

Random numbers are characterised using the following statistical properties

- Uniformity: Random numbers are uniformly distributed
- Independence: generated random numbers cannot be derived from other generated random numbers Generated using physical devices, e.g, quantum random number
- generator Pseudorandom numbers are nonrandom numbers that cannot be
- distinguished from random numbers: .
  - Statistical distribution cannot be distinguished from the uniform distribution Independent-looking: pseudorandom numbers should be unpredictable, given a sequence of previously generated pseudorandom numbers
  - Generated using deterministic algorithms from a short truly random or pseudorandom seed.

73

## Cryptographical PRNGs

The security requirements for a cryptographically secure pseudorandom number generator are similar than those for a keystream generator. In practice, the difference lies in the fact that keystream generators are used for encryption and must be fast, and consequently, security is traded off to achieve the required speed. Random number generators are used for key and nonce generation, and therefore security is more important than speed. Some standard PRNGs:

- Counter mode keystream generator is a cryptographically strong PRNG
- ANSI X9.17 PRNG based on Triple DES with two keys in encryption-decryption-encryption mode.
- FIPS 186-2 specifies a random number generator based on SHA-1 for generation of the private keys and per-message nonces for siganture generation
- Blum-Blum-Shub generator is provably secure if factoring is hard

















#### Public announcement

- Just appending one's public key, or the fingerprint (hash) of the public key in one's signed email message is not secure
- PGP public key fingerprints need to be truly authenticated
- based on face-to-face or voice contact
- Publicly available directory
  - An authorised directory, similar to phone directory that is published in print
- · Public-key Authority - Public keys obtained from an online service. Communication needs to be secured
- Public-key Certificates
  - Public keys bound to user's identities using a certificate signed by a Certification Authority (CA)

# 82

### CA and Registration Authority

Certification Authority

- E.g. in Finland: Population Register Center ٠
- The certificate is stored in the subject's Electronic Identity Card Registration Authority
- Identifies the user based on user's true identity and establishes a binding between the public key and the subject's identity Management of private keys
- Private keys generated by the user
- Private key generated by a tusted authority
- Private key generated inside a smart card from where it is never taken out. The public key is taken out. Certificate Revocation List
- Black list for lost or stolen private keys
- CRL must be available online for certificates with long validity period

83

# Pretty Good Privacy

- Email encryption program
- Bottom-up approach to the distribution of trust
- Each user acts as his/her own CA and signs the public keys of other users
- User can accept authenticity of a public key based on recommendation by a third trusted user
- RSA public key encryption used for distribution of session keys \*) Digital signatures produced by RSA or DSA signature algorithms
- Hash functions are MD5 and SHA-1
- Symmetric encryption performed using IDEA in CFB mode (selfsynchronising stream cipher)
- Public keys held in "Key-ring"
- Revocation of public keys is a problem
- \*) A data encryption protocol, where the data is encrypted using symmetric encryption and the symmetric encryption key is encrypted using public key encryption is called as "hybrid encryption" 84





# SSL Handshake Protocol

- Phase 1: Establishing Security Capabilities
- Nonces
- Session ID \_
  - Cipher Suite 1.
  - Key Exchange method: RSA, Fixed, ephemeral, or anonymous Diffie-Hellman, Fortezza
  - Cipher Algorithm: Any of the ones mentined above; Cipher type: Stream or Block; Exportability: Yes or No; 2.
  - Hash algorithm: MD5 or SHA-1; Hash size: 0, 16 (MD5), or 20 (SHA-1) 3.
  - Key Material (session key data) and IV size (for CBC mode) 4. Compression method
- Phase 2: Server Authentication and Key Exchange
- Phase 3: Client Authentication and Key Exchange
- Phase 4: Finish
  - Explicit verification that the authentication and key exchange was successful 87



IPSec can be used to provide secure remote login for individual users.



