T-79.148 **Spring 2002**
Introduction to Theoretical Computer Science
Tutorial 6
Solutions to the demonstration problems

Theorem (The Pumping Theorem). Let A be a regular language. There exists $n \geq 1$ such that any string x of A where the length of which $|x| \geq n$ can be rewritten as $x = uvw$ such that $|uv| \leq n$, $|v| \geq 1$ and uv^iw belongs to A for all $i \geq 0$.
In a more compact form, the pumping theorem can be written as follows:

$\forall$ regular languages A

$\exists n \geq 1$ such that
$\forall x \in A : |x| \geq n$
$\exists\ x = uvw$, such that $|uv| \leq n$, $|v| \geq 1$
$\forall i \geq 0\ uv^iw \in A$.

The pumping theorem can be used in showing a language L to be *not regular* using contradiction. First assume that L is a regular language. THe goal is to end in contradiction with this assumption by following the demands imposed on L by the pumping theorem
When using the theorem we have to remember that it works only in one direction. It can show that a language is not regular, but it cannot be used to show nonregularity of a language. For example, the language $I = \{c^ia^nb^n \mid i > 0 \wedge n \geq 0\} \cup L(a^*b^*)$ is not regular, but all words in it may be partitioned in a way that satisfies the requirements of the theorem. Thus, it is not possible to use the theorem directly to prove that I is not regular. In this case we have to use an indirect proof using the closure properties of regular languages. The answer to exercise 5 shows how this is done.

4. **Problem**: *Pattern expressions* are a generalisation of regular expression used e.g. in some text editing tools of UN*X-type operating systems. In addition to the usual regular expression constructs, a pattern expression may contain string variables, inducing the constraint that any two appearances of the same variable must correspond to the same substring. Thus e.g. aXb^*Xa and $aX(a \cup b)^*YX(a \cup b)^*Ya$ are pattern expressions over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$. The first one of these describes the language $\{awb^nwa \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*,\ n \geq 0\}$. Prove that pattern expressions are a proper generalisation of regular expressions, i.e. that pattern expressions can be used to describe also some nonregular languages.

   Solution:

   Th prove that pattern expressions are a proper generalization os regular expressions, we must find a pattern expression that defines a language that is not regular.

   Consider the pattern expression XX. The corresponding language is $L = \{zz \mid z = \{a, b\}^*\}$. Assume that L is regular Select $x = a^nba^nb \in L$. Now $|x| = 2n+2 > n$. According to the pumping theorem, we can rewrite x as $x = uvw$, where $|uv| \leq n$ and $|v| \geq 1$. Now we have $u = a^{n-|v|-k}$, $v = a^{|v|}$ and $w = a^kba^nb$, where $0 \leq k < n$. According to the theorem, for all $i \geq 0$ it should hold that $uv^iw \in L$. Still, $uv^0w = uw = a^{n-|v|}ba^nb \notin L$, for it is not of the form zz, as $|v| \geq 1$. This is contradiction with the assumption that L is a regular language. Therefore, L is not a regular language.

   A non-regular language was found that can be expressed using pattern expressions. Therefore, pattern expressions are a proper generalisation of regular expressions. □

5. **Problem**: Prove that the language $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w$ contains equally many a's and b's$\}$ is not regular, and design a context-free grammar generating it.

   Solution:

It would be possible to use the pumping theorem directly to show that L is not regular. However, we will use a little more complex solution, because there is no other example in the course material that shows how "difficult" nonregular languages can be handled.

We define a language $L' = L \cap L(a^*b^*)$. Suppose that L is regular. Then, L' has to be also regular since the class of regular languages is closed under intersection and $L(a^*b^*)$ is regular (note that this condition does not hold to the other direction: L' may be regular even if L is not. For example, $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ for all languages A).

We note that $L' = \{a^k b^k \mid k \geq 0\}$. Next, we examine the word $w = a^n b^n$ where n is the language-dependant length-bound given by the pumping theorem (so $|w| > n$). Now we try to partition w in a way that satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Since $|xy| \leq n$, the partition has to be of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a^{n-i} \\ y &= a^i \\ z &= b^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < i \leq n$. However, now $xz = a^{n-i}b^n$, so $xz \notin L'$. Since w cannot be pumped, L' is not regular. However, this is a contradiction with our assumption that L is regular, so L may not be regular, either.

The following context-free grammar G defines L: $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$, where
$V = \{S, T, a, b\}$,
$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P = \{\ & S \to SS \mid aT \mid Ta \mid \varepsilon, \\ & T \to ST \mid TS \mid b\} \end{aligned}$$

For example, the word $aababb \in L$ may be derived as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow aT \\ &\Rightarrow aST \\ &\Rightarrow aaTT \\ &\Rightarrow aabT \\ &\Rightarrow aabST \\ &\Rightarrow aabaTT \\ &\Rightarrow aababT \\ &\Rightarrow aababb \end{aligned}$$

6. **Problem**: Design a context-free grammar describing the syntax of simple "programs" of the following form: a program consists of nested `for` loops, compound statements enclosed by `begin-end` pairs and elementary operations `a`. Thus, a "program" in this language looks something like this:

```
a;
for 3 times do
begin
   for 5 times do a;
   a; a
end.
```

For simplicity, you may assume that the loop counters are always integer constants in the range 0,. . . , 9.

Solution: The context-free grammars of programming languages are most often defined so that the alphabet consists of all syntactic elements (lexemes) that occur in the language. In this case numbers, `a`, and reserved words are lexemes. We divide the parsing of a program into two parts:

(a) The program text is transformed into a string of lexemes using a finite state automaton;

(b) The parse tree of the lexeme string is constructed.

The given grammar can be formalized in many ways, this is one possible interpretation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G =& (V, \Sigma, P, C) \\
V =& \{C, S, N, \textbf{begin}, \textbf{do}, \textbf{end}, \textbf{for}, \textbf{times}, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, ;, a\} \\
\Sigma =& \{\textbf{begin}, \textbf{do}, \textbf{end}, \textbf{for}, \textbf{times}, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, ;, a\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the nonterminal S denotes a statement, C a compound statement, and N a number. The rules of the grammar are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P = \{ & C \to S \mid S; C \\
& S \to a \mid \textbf{begin}\ C\ \textbf{end} \mid \textbf{for}\ N\ \textbf{times do}\ S \\
& N \to 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For example, the program in the problem text can be derived as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S' \Rightarrow & C \\
\Rightarrow & S; C \\
\Rightarrow & a; C \\
\Rightarrow & a; S \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ N\ \textbf{times do}\ S \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do}\ S \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin}\ C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin}\ S; C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ N\ \textbf{times do}\ S; C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ 5\ \textbf{times do}\ S; C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ 5\ \textbf{times do}\ a; C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ 5\ \textbf{times do}\ a; S; C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ 5\ \textbf{times do}\ a; a; C\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ 5\ \textbf{times do}\ a; a; S\ \textbf{end} \\
\Rightarrow & a; \textbf{for}\ 3\ \textbf{times do begin for}\ 5\ \textbf{times do}\ a; a; a\ \textbf{end}
\end{aligned}
$$