T-79.1001 **Syksy 2005**
Introduction to Theoretical Computer Science (T)
Session 8
Answers to demonstration exercises

5. **Problem**: Prove that the class of context-free languages is not closed under intersections and complements. (*Hint:* Represent the language $\{a^k b^k c^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ as the intersection of two context-free languages.)

   Solution: Let $L = \{a^k b^k c^k \mid k \geq 0\}$. This language has been proven to be not context-free. We can prove that context-free languages are not closed under intersection by finding two context-free languages L_1 and L_2 such that $L = L_1 \cap L_2$. Languages $L_1 = \{a^i b^k c^k \mid i, k \geq 0\}$ and $L_2 = \{a^k b^k c^i \mid i, k \geq 0\}$ fulfill this condition.

   A direct corollary is that the class of context-free languages cannot be closed under complementation, either, since they are closed under union and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \overline{\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}}$.

   Finally, we prove that L_1 and L_2 are context-free by presenting context-free grammars that generate them. The language L_1 is generated by $G_1 = (\{S, A, B, a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, P_1, S)$, where $P_1 = \{S \to AB, A \to aA \mid \varepsilon, B \to bBc \mid \varepsilon\}$. Similarly, L_2 is generated by $G_2 = (\{S, A, B, a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, P_2, S)$, $P_2 = \{S \to AB, A \to aAb \mid \varepsilon, B \to cB \mid \varepsilon\}$.

6. **Problem**: Prove that the Cartesian product $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countably infinite. (*Hint:* Think of the pairs $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ as embedded in the Euclidean (x, y) plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. Enumerate the pairs by diagonals parallel to the line $y = -x$.) Conclude from this result and the result of Problem 3 that also the set $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers is countably infinite.

   Solution: A set S is countably infinite, if there exists a bijective mapping $f : \mathbb{N} \to S$. By intuition, all members of the set S can be assigned a unambiguous running number.

   The members $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ can be assigned a number as shown in the following figure.



   The idea is to arrange all pairs of numbers on diagonals parallel to the line $y = -x$ and enumerate the lines by member one at a time, starting from the shortest one. Here the enumeration can not be done parallel to the x-axis; when doing this all indices would be used to enumerate only the y-axis and no pair $(x, y), y > 0$ would ever be reached.

The enumerating scheme abowe can be defined as follows:

$$f(x,y) = x + \sum_{k=1}^{x+y} k = x + \frac{(x+y)(x+y+1)}{2}$$

For an example, $f(3,1) = 13$, that is, the running number of pair $(3,1)$ is 13. The function $f(x,y)$ is a bijection; for every running number there exists a unambiguous pair of numbers. Calculating a coordinate from a given index is relatively difficult, and is discussed in the appendix at the end of these solutions.

The set of positive rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}^+$ can be presented as a pair of numbers $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by $(x,y) \equiv \frac{x}{y}, y \neq 0$. This is a proper subset of the countably infinite set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. By Problem 3, $\mathbb{Q}^+$ is either finite or countably infinite. If $\mathbb{Q}^+$ was finite, there should exists some rational number $\frac{x}{y}, x \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathbb{N}, y \neq 0$, that would have the greatest running number $n < \infty$ (in the enumeration of $\mathbb{Q}$). This cannot be, because using the figure above one could always find a rational number that would have a running numberu $n' > n$. Hence, we have contradiction with the assumption that $\mathbb{Q}^+$ is finite. Therefore $\mathbb{Q}^+$ is countably infinite. By the same argument, the set $\mathbb{Q}^-$:

$$\mathbb{Q}^- = \{(-x,y) \mid (x,y) \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$$

is countably infinite. Thus, the set $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \mathbb{Q}^-$ is the union of two countably infinite sets, and it too is countably infinite.